

E_n -CELL ATTACHMENTS AND A LOCAL-TO-GLOBAL PRINCIPLE FOR HOMOLOGICAL STABILITY

ALEXANDER KUPERS AND JEREMY MILLER

ABSTRACT. We define bounded generation for E_n -algebras in chain complexes and prove that for $n \geq 2$ this property is equivalent to homological stability. Using this we prove a local-to-global principle for homological stability, which says that if an E_n -algebra A has homological stability (or equivalently the topological chiral homology $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A$ has homology stability), then so has the topological chiral homology $\int_M A$ of any connected non-compact manifold M . Using scanning, we reformulate the local-to-global homological stability principle in a way that also applies to compact manifolds. We also give several applications of our results.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Topological chiral homology and completions of partial algebras	5
3. Cell attachments	13
4. The proof of the main theorem	18
5. Extending the main theorem to compact manifolds	22
6. Applications of the main theorem	23
7. Delooping and group-completion	29
Appendix A. Homological stability for configuration spaces with labels in a bundle	31
References	35

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper establishes a local-to-global principle for homological stability. Since all sufficiently natural and local constructions on the category of n -manifolds are equivalent to topological chiral homology with coefficients in some E_n -algebra, our local-to-global homological stability principle is formulated using topological chiral homology. To prove our result, we introduce a condition on E_n -algebras which we call *bounded generation* and prove that this condition is equivalent to homological stability. This allows us to leverage homological stability for configuration spaces to prove that if an E_n -algebra has homological stability, then so does its topological chiral homology. We discuss several applications of this local-to-global homological stability principle as well as applications of the equivalence between bounded generation and homological stability.

Many of the constructions in this paper can be put into an ∞ -categorical context. However, we chose not to do this because it is not strictly necessary and would make the paper less accessible. In future work, we intend to discuss an abstract categorical framework for our results.

Convention 1.1. All our manifolds are smooth (but see Remark 1.3).

Date: December 25, 2014.

Alexander Kupers is supported by a William R. Hewlett Stanford Graduate Fellowship, Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, and was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1105058.

1.1. Homological stability for framed E_n -algebras. In this paper we are interested in studying framed E_n -algebras and their homology. Because we are working in categories with some notion of homotopy theory, e.g. chain complexes or topological spaces, it makes sense to talk about algebras with commutativity conditions interpolating between associative and commutative; these are E_n -algebras. The typical example of an E_n -algebra is an n -fold based loop space $\Omega^n X$. A framed E_n -algebra is an E_n -algebra with additional structure amounting to an action of the special orthogonal group $SO(n)$. See Section 2.2 for precise definitions.

Many framed E_n -algebras of interest in the category of topological spaces have π_0 isomorphic to $\mathbb{N}_0$, the non-negative integers, and one can compare the homology of different components. If the i th homology group of the k th component eventually does not depend on k , then the framed E_n -algebra is said to have *homological stability*, see Definition 2.13. This is the property of framed E_n -algebras we are interested in.

The basic example of a framed E_n -algebra that has homological stability is the configuration space of unordered particles in an n -dimensional Euclidean space, $X = \bigsqcup_{k \geq 0} C_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [Arn70] [Seg79, Appendix A]. Here $C_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as $\{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^k \mid x_i \neq x_j \text{ if } i \neq j\} / \mathfrak{S}_k$ with $\mathfrak{S}_k$ the symmetric group on k letters. Other examples of framed E_n -algebras with homological stability include symmetric powers of $\mathbb{R}^n$ [Ste72], bounded symmetric powers of $\mathbb{R}^n$ [Yam03] [KMT14], various decorated configuration spaces [RW13], completions of certain partial E_n -algebras [KM13], some spaces of branched covers [EVW09], classifying spaces of groups of diffeomorphisms fixing a disk [Har85] [Wah13] [GRW12], moduli spaces of manifolds embedded in $\mathbb{R}^n$ [Pal12] [Kup13], moduli spaces of instantons [BHMM93] and spaces of rational or holomorphic functions [Seg79] [Gra89] [BHMM94] [Gue95]. In all of these examples, the map eventually inducing isomorphisms on homology is constructed using the framed E_n -algebra structure.

1.2. Homological stability for topological chiral homology. One can use a framed E_n -algebra to construct a homology theory for oriented n -dimensional manifolds, which is called *topological chiral homology*. The input is a framed E_n -algebra A in a symmetric monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -category $\mathcal{C}$ (for us spaces or chain complexes) and an oriented n -dimensional manifold M , and the output is an object $\int_M A$ of $\mathcal{C}$. It is also known as factorization homology, higher Hochschild homology or configuration spaces with summable labels. References for topological chiral homology include [And10], [Fra12], [GTZ10], [Lur11] and [Sal01].

Many of the examples of framed E_n -algebras with homological stability mentioned in the previous subsection are obtained by applying a geometric construction to $\mathbb{R}^n$ and have natural analogues replacing $\mathbb{R}^n$ by an arbitrary n -dimensional manifold M . In many cases, the result of this geometric construction is homotopy equivalent to the topological chiral homology of the manifold with coefficients in the framed E_n -algebra. For example, we have $\bigsqcup_{k \geq 0} C_k(M) \simeq \int_M \bigsqcup_{k \geq 0} C_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$. See Example 1.5 and Sections 6 and 7 for other examples.

If M is a connected manifold and X is a framed E_n -algebra in spaces, then there is an isomorphism $\pi_0(X) \cong \pi_0(\int_M X)$. This leads us to the following question, originally posed by Ralph Cohen:

If the connected components of a framed E_n -algebra in spaces have homological stability, is the same true for its topological chiral homology on an oriented manifold?

The answer to the question turns out to be: Yes, as long as we restrict to non-compact connected manifolds (see Corollary 1.4). The assumption that the manifold is non-compact is used to construct maps t between components of the topological chiral homology by “bringing particles in from infinity.” However, see Section 1.6 for a reformulation that allows us to address compact manifolds as well.

1.3. Chain complexes and charge. In this paper, we will often work in the category of non-negatively graded chain complexes because we are interested in statements about homology. However, in the category of chain complexes, connected components do not make sense and this makes it harder to formulate homological stability. Our solution is to work with chain complexes with an extra grading which keeps track of the “connected components.” We call this extra grading *charge* to differentiate it from the homological grading. It should be thought of as the number of particles

counted with multiplicity. To make this precise, we define a *charged space* to be a space X together with a decomposition $X = \bigsqcup_{c \geq 0} X(c)$ and a *charged chain complex* to be a chain complex A with a decomposition $A = \bigoplus_{c \geq 0} A(c)$ in the category of chain complexes. If X is a charged space with each $X(c)$ connected, then a *charged algebra* structure on X is a framed E_n -algebra structure on X which respects the decomposition. One can similarly define *charged algebras* in the category of chain complexes. For precise definitions see Subsection 2.3.

1.4. E_n -cell decompositions. Before we state our main results, we describe E_n -cell attachments which are the primary technical tool of this paper. The class of *cellular* framed E_n -algebras consists of those framed E_n -algebras that are built by successive E_n -cell attachments. Every charged algebra is weakly equivalent to a cellular algebra. This is similar to the fact that every topological space is weakly homotopy equivalent to a CW-complex. Studying E_n -cell decompositions allows us to characterize which charged algebras have homological stability and bound the homological stability range.

What are E_n -cell attachments? While we will primarily be interested in E_n -cell attachments in the category of chain complexes, the construction can also be defined for spaces, in which case the construction is more familiar: one can attach a cell (in the sense of CW-complexes) to a framed E_n -algebra in spaces, but the resulting space does not naturally carry the structure of framed E_n -algebra. For example, we do not know how to multiply elements in the interior of the cell. However, it is naturally a partial framed E_n -algebra and by freely adding those operations that are not defined yet, every partial framed E_n -algebra can be completed to a framed E_n -algebra. This procedure defines E_n -cell attachments in spaces and a similar construction works in the category of chain complexes, see Section 3.

1.5. Main result. We can now state the main result of this paper. A charged algebra is called *bounded generated* if it is weakly equivalent to a cellular framed E_n -algebra obtained by E_n -cell attachments where all E_n -cells of a fixed dimension are attached in only finitely many charges.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n \geq 2$ and A be a charged algebra in chain complexes, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The charged algebra A has homological stability as in Definition 2.13.*
- (ii) *For all oriented connected non-compact n -dimensional manifolds M , $\int_M A$ has homological stability as in Definition 2.29.*
- (iii) *The charged algebra A is bounded generated as in Definition 3.11.*

As $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A \simeq A$, the equivalence of (i) and (ii) can be captured by the slogan: “topological chiral homology has homological stability globally if and only if it has homological stability locally.” Also note that this equivalence between (i) and (ii) holds trivially for $n = 1$, since each non-compact connected 1-dimensional manifold is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} A \simeq A$.

Our proof of Theorem 1.2 is a simultaneous induction involving (i), (ii) and (iii). This shows that bounded generation is a useful concept even if one is only interested in homological stability and reinforces the idea that topological chiral homology is a useful construction even if one is only interested in the properties of E_n -algebras. We also remark that the use of condition (iii) to prove (ii) can be summarized by saying that we resolve topological chiral homology in the algebra variable, not in the manifold variable as is traditionally done in homological stability arguments. See Theorem 4.3 for a version of Theorem 1.2 involving homological stability with an explicit range.

Remark 1.3. Using the same method one can give various generalizations of the result. Two of these will be treated in this paper because of their relevance to applications:

- We can replace the monoid $\mathbb{N}_0$ used to define charged algebras with any monoid of charges C (see Definition 2.8). As we do not know of interesting applications of this level of generality, in this paper we only prove our theorems in the case where the monoid is $\mathbb{N}_0^d$ with addition.
- One can replace orientations with other tangential structures θ , e.g. consider framed n -manifolds and E_n -algebras. These generalizations work as long as the corresponding space of θ -framed embeddings of $\mathbb{R}^n$ into itself is connected (e.g. framed smooth manifolds are

fine, but unoriented ones are not). This is because our proof uses homological stability for configuration spaces with labels in a bundle, which is true only if the fiber is connected.

The following is a non-exhaustive list of generalizations that we will not discuss in this paper.

- One can generalize to other types of manifolds, e.g. topological manifolds and the corresponding version of framed E_n -algebras. This requires no change except replacing $O(n)$ with $\text{Top}(n)$ and the tangent bundle with the tangent microbundle, as well as using ideas from [KM14] to construct stabilization maps.
- One can replace the target category with chain complexes over any ring or more generally with the positive part $\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ of a stable symmetric-monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -category $\mathcal{C}$ with a compatible t -structure. Examples include spectra and module spectra over an E_∞ -ring spectrum.

Theorem 1.2 has the local-to-global principle for homological stability as an easy corollary:

Corollary 1.4. *Suppose that X is a charged algebra in spaces, then $X \simeq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} X$ has homological stability if and only if $\int_M X$ has homological stability for all oriented connected non-compact n -dimensional manifolds M .*

One cannot prove this corollary using our techniques while solely working in the category of spaces, because it is not always possible to kill off a homology class by attaching a topological cell and π_1 might change in a fashion undetected by homology.

The above corollary gives us two new techniques for proving homological stability theorems. The first involves proving homological stability locally and then applying the local-to-global homological stability principle. In Subsection 6.1 we will give several examples of this. Here, we illustrate it by giving a new proof of Steenrod's result from [Ste72] that symmetric powers exhibit homological stability.

Example 1.5. Let $\text{Sym}_k(M)$ denote the quotient $M^k/\mathfrak{S}_k$ where the action of the symmetric group permutes the terms. We have that

$$\bigsqcup_{k \geq 0} \text{Sym}_k(M) = \int_M \left(\bigsqcup_{k \geq 0} \text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{R}^n) \right)$$

and since $\text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is contractible for all k and n , the framed E_n -algebra $\bigsqcup_{k \geq 0} \text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ trivially has homological stability. Thus the spaces $\text{Sym}_k(M)$ have homological stability whenever M is an oriented connected non-compact manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$. As symmetric powers are homotopy invariant, this actually proves homological stability for symmetric powers of any finite CW-complex by embedding it in some $\mathbb{R}^n$ and taking a regular neighborhood. This argument extends to ENR's by definition of an ENR and to arbitrary CW-complexes by exhaustion using finite subcomplexes.

The second new technique involves deducing homological stability from the existence of bounded E_n -cell decompositions. In Subsection 6.2, we give several examples of this, including a large improvement over previously known homological stability ranges for bounded symmetric powers.

1.6. Stable homology, compact manifolds and group-completion. After discussing the main theorem, two questions remain. What is the stable homology and what happens for compact manifolds? For framed E_n -algebras in spaces both questions are answered by the *scanning map*

$$s : \int_M^k X \rightarrow \Gamma_k^c(M, B^{TM} X)$$

Here $\int_M^k X$ denotes the charge k component of $\int_M X$, $B^{TM} X$ is a bundle over M with fiber the n -fold delooping $B^n X$ and $\Gamma_k^c(-)$ denotes the space of compactly supported sections of degree k . In [Mil13], the second author proved that if X has homological stability and M is connected and non-compact, then the scanning map is a homology equivalence in a range increasing with k . Since all the components of $\Gamma^c(M, B^{TM} X)$ are homotopy equivalent when M is non-compact, $H_*(\Gamma_0^c(M, B^{TM} X))$ is the stable homology of $\int_M^k X$ (see Proposition 5.2).

In Theorem 5.3, we show that when X has homological stability, the scanning map is a homology equivalence in a range even for compact manifolds. However, for compact M it is no longer the case that the components of $\Gamma^c(M, B^{TM}X)$ are homotopy equivalent and thus there is no such thing as the stable homology. This reflects the fact that $H_*(\int_M^k X)$ need not stabilize when M is compact, even if the components of X have homological stability (see Page 467 of [Chu12]).

These results show the importance of $B^n X$. In Section 7, we show that attaching an E_n -cell of dimension N to an E_n -algebra X amounts to attaching an ordinary cell of dimension $n + N$ to $B^n X$. Another important and related tool in the study of E_n -algebras is group-completion. Homological stability for the components of X is equivalent to the group-completion map $g : X \rightarrow \Omega^n B^n X$ inducing a homology equivalence in a range tending to infinity [MS76]. In Section 7, we construct the group-completion in terms of E_n -cell attachments and use this to give a new configuration space model of $\text{Map}^c(M, S^n)$ for M a parallelizable n -dimensional manifold.

1.7. Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Ricardo Andrade, Kerstin Baer, Ralph Cohen, Søren Galatius and Martin Palmer for helpful conversations and comments.

2. TOPOLOGICAL CHIRAL HOMOLOGY AND COMPLETIONS OF PARTIAL ALGEBRAS

In this section we define topological chiral homology for partial $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras in topological spaces and chain complexes. In contrast to the introduction, we will work with general tangential structures and partial monoids of charges.

2.1. Tangential structures. Recall that the classifying space of the n th orthogonal group $BO(n)$ has a universal vector bundle γ over it. A *tangential structure* is a map $\theta : B \rightarrow BO(n)$ and a θ -*framing* of an n -dimensional manifold M is a bundle map $\phi_M : TM \rightarrow \theta^* \gamma$ (by definition this is a fiberwise linear isomorphism).

Convention 2.1. We will demand that B is 1-connected and $\theta : B \rightarrow BO(n)$ a fibration. We fix once and for all a θ -framing on $\mathbb{R}^n$, which exists because B is non-empty.

If M and N are θ -framed manifolds, let $\text{Bun}(TM, TN)$ denote the space of all bundle maps $TM \rightarrow TN$ with the compact-open topology. Let $\text{Bun}_{\theta^* \gamma}(TM, TN)$ denote the subspace of bundle maps φ compatible with the bundle maps $\phi_M : TM \rightarrow \theta^* \gamma$ and $\phi_N : TN \rightarrow \theta^* \gamma$ in the sense that $\phi_N \circ \varphi = \phi_M$. There is an inclusion $\text{Bun}_{\theta^* \gamma}(TM, TN) \rightarrow \text{Bun}(TM, TN)$ and standard path construction turns this into a fibration $P\text{Bun}_{\theta^* \gamma}(TM, TN) \rightarrow \text{Bun}(TM, TN)$. We define the space of θ -framed embeddings as the pull back in the following diagram (where Emb has the C^∞ -topology):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Emb}^\theta(M, N) & \dashrightarrow & P\text{Bun}_{\theta^* \gamma}(TM, TN) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Emb}(M, N) & \longrightarrow & \text{Bun}(TM, TN) \end{array}$$

Lemma 2.2. *The space $\text{Emb}^\theta(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is path-connected.*

Proof. Note that $\text{Emb}^\theta(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Emb}(M, N)$ by construction is a fibration. In the case that $M = N = \mathbb{R}^n$, the map $\text{Emb}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Bun}(TM, TN)$ is a weak equivalence. Thus it suffices to show that $\text{Bun}_{\theta^* \gamma}(TM, TN)$ is path-connected.

There is a map $(\phi_N)_* : \text{Bun}(TM, TN) \rightarrow \text{Bun}(TM, \theta^* \gamma)$ given by sending $\varphi : TM \rightarrow TN$ to $\phi_N \circ \varphi$. This is a fibration since $\theta : B \rightarrow BO(n)$ is and $\text{Bun}_{\theta^* \gamma}(TM, TN)$ is the fiber of $(\phi_N)_*$ over the point ϕ_M . In the case that $M = N = \mathbb{R}^n$, the map $\text{Bun}(T\mathbb{R}^n, T\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \text{Bun}(T\mathbb{R}^n, \theta^* \gamma)$ is homotopic to the inclusion of a fiber into the total space of frame bundle of $\theta^* \gamma$ over B . This implies $\text{Bun}_{\theta^* \gamma}(T\mathbb{R}^n, T\mathbb{R}^n)$ is weakly equivalent to ΩB , which is path-connected because B is 1-connected. $\square$

2.2. Operads and $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras. Operads are a general framework to encode algebraic structures and it hence is no surprise that one uses an operad to define $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras. An operad is a sequence of objects encoding for all integers $k \geq 0$ the k -ary operations in the algebraic structure of interest.

Let $(\mathbf{C}, \otimes, 1)$ be any symmetric monoidal category. An operad in $\mathbf{C}$ is a sequence of objects $\{\mathcal{O}(k)\}_{k \geq 0}$ with the following additional data: (i) an $\mathfrak{S}_k$ -action on $\mathcal{O}(k)$, where $\mathfrak{S}_k$ denotes the symmetric group on k letters, (ii) operad composition morphisms $\mathcal{O}(n) \otimes \mathcal{O}(k_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{O}(k_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(k_1 + \dots + k_n)$, and (iii) a unit map $1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)$. The operad composition morphisms should furthermore satisfy appropriate unit, associativity and equivariance axioms (see [May72]).

Definition 2.3. The θ -framed little n -disks operad $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ is the operad in the $(\mathbf{Top}, \times, *)$ given by $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta(k) = \text{Emb}^\theta(\sqcup_k \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$. The symmetric group acts by permuting the Euclidean spaces in the domain, the operad composition map is given by composition of embeddings and pointwise composition of paths of bundle maps, and the unit in $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta(1)$ is $\text{id} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$.

The most important examples are $\theta = + : EO(n)/SO(n) \rightarrow BO(n)$, in which case $\mathcal{E}_n^{\text{SO}}$ is called the *framed little n -disks operad*, and $\theta = * : EO(n) \rightarrow BO(n)$, in which case $\mathcal{E}_n^*$ is called the *little n -disks operad*.

Remark 2.4. This nomenclature is standard but confusing as the framed little n -disks operad consists of orientation-preserving embeddings while the little n -disks operad consists of the framed embeddings.

Some authors define the framed little n -disks operad as the subspace of $\text{Emb}(\sqcup_k D^n, D^n)$ consisting of the orientation-preserving embeddings that are a composition of translation, dilation and rotations. There is a zigzag of maps of operads which are homotopy equivalences, relating this alternative definition and our definition. We believe that using all orientation-preserving embeddings is more natural, though our results hold with the alternative definition as well.

On the other hand, some articles use embeddings that do not necessarily preserve the orientation to define the framed little n -disks operad. This amounts to using $BO(n)$ instead of $BSO(n)$. Our proof does not work for this definition; see part (ii) of Remark 1.3.

Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a symmetric monoidal category with colimits and a lax-monoidal copowering (also known as tensoring) over $\mathbf{Top}$, i.e. there is a functor $\odot : \mathbf{Top} \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that there is map $\alpha : X \odot (Y \odot C) \rightarrow (X \times Y) \odot C$ satisfying associativity and unit axioms. For such $\mathbf{C}$, geometric realisation of a simplicial object $C_\bullet$ in $\mathbf{C}$ makes sense as the coend over Δ of $[k] \mapsto \Delta^k$ and $[k] \mapsto C_k$. We will only be concerned with the following two examples:

- (i) Topological spaces: $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Top}$, $X \odot Y = X \times Y$ and $X \odot (Y \odot C) \rightarrow (X \times Y) \odot C$ the identity.
- (ii) Chain complexes: $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Ch}$, $X \odot A = C_*(X) \otimes A$ and $X \odot (Y \odot C) \rightarrow (X \times Y) \odot C$ induced by the Eilenberg-Zilber map $\text{EZ} : C_*(X) \otimes C_*(Y) \rightarrow C_*(X \times Y)$.

A *monad* in $\mathbf{C}$ is a unital monoid in the category of functors $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, i.e. it is a functor $\mathbf{O}$ with a unit natural transformation $1 : \text{id} \rightarrow \mathbf{O}$ and a composition natural transformation $c : \mathbf{O}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{O}$. These satisfy associativity and unit axioms in the sense that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{ccc} \text{OOO} & \xrightarrow{\text{O}(c)} & \text{OO} \\ \downarrow c & & \downarrow c \\ \text{OO} & \xrightarrow{c} & \text{O} \end{array} &
 \begin{array}{ccc} \text{O} & \xrightarrow{\text{O}(1)} & \text{OO} \\ \searrow & & \downarrow c \\ & & \text{O} \end{array} &
 \begin{array}{ccc} \text{O} & \xrightarrow{1} & \text{OO} \\ \searrow & & \downarrow c \\ & & \text{O} \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

The free algebra functor gives a monad associated to each operad.

Definition 2.5. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an operad in $\mathbf{C}$. Then the corresponding *free $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra monad* $\mathbf{O}$ is given by

$$C \mapsto \bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{O}(n) \odot_{\mathfrak{S}_n} C^{\otimes n}$$

The unit and composition natural transformation are induced by the unit and composition morphisms of the operad $\mathcal{O}$.

Definition 2.6. Let $\mathbf{E}_n^\theta$ be the monad in $\mathbf{C}$ associated to the operad $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$.

One can succinctly define the notion of an algebra over an operad using the associated monad.

Definition 2.7. An $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra structure on an object A of $\mathbf{C}$ is a morphism $a : \mathbf{O}(A) \rightarrow A$ satisfying associativity and unit axioms in the sense that the following two diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{O}\mathbf{O}(A) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{O}(a)} & \mathbf{O}(A) \\ c \downarrow & & \downarrow a \\ \mathbf{O}(A) & \xrightarrow{a} & A \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{1} & \mathbf{O}(A) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow a \\ & & A \end{array}$$

An $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra in $\mathbf{C}$ is an object with a fixed structure of an $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra.

2.3. Charged algebras. We start by discussing a convenient generalization of charge.

Definition 2.8. A *partial monoid of charges* is an abelian cancellative partial monoid C with unit.

We fix such a partial monoid C of charges. The reader may want to keep in mind the example $C = \mathbb{N}_0$, the non-negative integers under addition. Partial monoids that are not monoids will not be needed until Section 6.2. We next define C -charged algebras to remedy the fact the connected components are not a well-defined notion for chain complexes.

Definition 2.9. (i) A C -charged space is a space X with a decomposition $X = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{c} \in C} X(\mathbf{c})$. A morphism of C -charged spaces is a continuous map preserving the decomposition. We denote the category of C -charged spaces by $\mathbf{Top}_C$.
(ii) A C -charged chain complex is a non-negatively graded chain complex A with a decomposition $A = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{c} \in C} A(\mathbf{c})$ as chain complexes. A morphism of C -charged chain complexes is a chain map preserving the decomposition. We denote the category of C -charged spaces by $\mathbf{Ch}_C$.

If $x \in X$ is an element of $X(\mathbf{c})$ or $a \in A$ is an element of $A(\mathbf{c})$, then we say it has *charge* $\mathbf{c}$.

Definition 2.10. (i) We say that a C -charged space X is *connected* if each $X(\mathbf{c})$ is.
(ii) We say that a C -charged chain complex A is *connected* if each $A(\mathbf{c})$ is, i.e. $H_0(A(\mathbf{c})) = \mathbb{Z}$ (though we do not specify an isomorphism).

The chains on a C -charged space have the structure of a C -charged chain complex. Both C -charged spaces and C -charged chain complexes are symmetric monoidal categories using the cartesian and tensor products respectively: $(X \times Y)(\mathbf{c}) = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{c}' + \mathbf{c}'' = \mathbf{c}} X(\mathbf{c}') \times Y(\mathbf{c}'')$ and $(A \otimes B)(\mathbf{c}) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{c}' + \mathbf{c}'' = \mathbf{c}} A(\mathbf{c}') \otimes B(\mathbf{c}'')$. The operad $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ can be given the structure of a C -charged space by considering all elements to have charge 0 and as a consequence the singular chains on $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ also have the structure of a C -charged chain complex. This means that we can make sense of an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra in C -charged spaces or C -charged chain complexes. Note that the forgetful functor from C -charged spaces or chain complexes to spaces or chain complexes is only symmetric monoidal if C is a monoid. Thus, $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras in C -charged spaces or C -charged chain complexes are not necessarily $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras in the underlying category.

Definition 2.11. (i) A C -charged space X is a C -charged $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra if X is connected and X is an algebra over $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ in $\mathbf{Top}_C$.
(ii) A C -charged chain complex A is a C -charged $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra if A is connected and A is an algebra over $C_*(\mathcal{E}_n^\theta)$ in $\mathbf{Ch}_C$.

Let A be a C -charged $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra in chain complexes. If we pick any representative $a \in A(\mathbf{c}_0)$ of a generator of $H_0(A(\mathbf{c}_0))$ and $\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{c}_0$ is defined in C , we can define a stabilization map

$$t_{\mathbf{c}_0} : H_*(A(\mathbf{c})) \rightarrow H_*(A(\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{c}_0))$$

by multiplying with the homology class of a using the ring structure on $H_*(A)$ induced by the $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra structure. This map depends on the choice of generator of $H_0(A(\mathbf{c}_0))$, which is unique up to a

choice of sign. The singular chains on a C -charged $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra X in spaces are a C -charged $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra in chain complexes, so this definition also gives us a stabilization map on the homology of X .

We now define homological stability when $C = \mathbb{N}_0^d$, whose elements we denote by $\mathbf{k}$. We let $\mathbf{e}_i$ denote the basis vector $(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ (1 is in the i th position) and call $t_i := t_{\mathbf{e}_i}$ a *basic stabilization map*.

Definition 2.12. A map of chain complex or spaces $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called an N -equivalence if f induces an isomorphism on homology groups H_* for $* \leq N - 1$ and a surjection for $* \leq N$.

Definition 2.13. Let $C = \mathbb{N}_0^d$.

- (i) Let A be a C -charged $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra in $\mathbf{Ch}$, then we say that A has *homological stability* if there is a function $\rho : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \rho(j) = \infty$ such that all basic stabilization maps $t_i : H_*(A(\mathbf{k})) \rightarrow H_*(A(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{e}_i))$ are $\rho(k_i)$ -equivalences.
- (ii) Let X be a C -charged $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra in $\mathbf{Top}$, then we say that X has *homological stability* if $C_*(X)$ has.

Here are a couple of remarks about this definition:

- In the case $C = \mathbb{N}_0$, we can remove reference to a function ρ and instead say that all basic stabilization maps induce isomorphisms on H_* for k large with respect to $*$.
- This is not the most general definition of homological stability that one could give. Though it is not enough to demand that iterated stabilization maps are eventually isomorphisms, because we will need uniformity in the different directions in $\mathbb{N}_0^d$, one can use different ρ for each direction and make these depend on all coordinates of $\mathbf{k}$ instead of just a single one. We decided not to pursue this generality, since it complicates the proofs and none of our applications requires it.
- To give a quantitative version of the main theorem, we will need to impose extra conditions on the function ρ (see Theorem 4.3).

2.4. Topological chiral homology. Similarly to the notion of algebra over a monad, we have a notion of right functor over a monad.

Definition 2.14. A *right functor* $\mathbf{F}$ over a monad $\mathbf{O}$ is a functor $\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with a natural transformation $a : \mathbf{FO} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$ satisfying associativity and unit axioms in the sense that the following two diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{FOO} & \xrightarrow{a} & \mathbf{FO} \\ \mathbf{F}(c) \downarrow & & \downarrow a \\ \mathbf{FO} & \xrightarrow{a} & \mathbf{F} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{F} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{F}(1)} & \mathbf{FO} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow a \\ & & \mathbf{F} \end{array}$$

We are interested in one particular example of a right functor:

Definition 2.15. Suppose M is a θ -framed manifold. Let $\mathbf{M}^\theta$ be the following right functor over $\mathbf{E}_n^\theta$:

$$C \mapsto \bigsqcup_{k \geq 0} \text{Emb}^\theta(\sqcup_k \mathbb{R}^n, M) \odot_{\mathfrak{S}_k} C^{\otimes k}$$

The right functor structure is induced by composition of embeddings.

We will now define topological chiral homology of an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra A in $\mathbf{C}$ over a θ -framed manifold M using the monadic two-sided bar construction introduced in [May72]. This is one of many equivalent models for topological chiral homology and is a concrete instance of the homotopy coend in Definition 3.4 of [Fra12]. One could also check that this model satisfies the axioms in Theorem 3.19 of [Fra12].

Definition 2.16. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an operad, A an $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra and $\mathbf{F}$ a right $\mathcal{O}$ -functor. Let $B_\bullet(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{O}, A)$ be the following simplicial object in $\mathbf{C}$:

$$[p] \mapsto \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{O}^p(A))$$

with face maps $B_p(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{O}, A) \rightarrow B_{p-1}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{O}, A)$ induced by (i) the natural transformation $\mathbf{FO} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$ for d_0 , (ii) the composition natural transformation $\mathbf{O}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{O}$ for d_i with $0 < i < p$ and (iii) the operad action map $\mathbf{O}A \rightarrow A$ for d_p . Similarly, the degeneracies are induced by the unit natural transformation $\text{id} \rightarrow \mathbf{O}$.

Definition 2.17. We define the *topological chiral homology* $\int_M A$ of A over M to be $\|B_\bullet(\mathbf{M}^\theta, \mathbf{E}_n^\theta, A)\|$.

The following is one of the axioms given in [Fra12].

Lemma 2.18. *We have that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A \simeq A$.*

Proof. For $M = \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbf{M}^\theta = \mathbf{E}_n^\theta$. The unit of the monad endows the simplicial object $B_\bullet(\mathbf{E}_n^\theta, \mathbf{E}_n^\theta, A)$ with an extra degeneracy. $\square$

Maps of $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras that are homology equivalences in a range induce homology equivalences in a range on topological chiral homology.

Lemma 2.19. *If $A \rightarrow B$ is a map of $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras that induces an isomorphism on H_* , then so does the induced map $\int_M A \rightarrow \int_M B$. More generally, if $f : A \rightarrow B$ is an N -equivalence then so is $\int_M A \rightarrow \int_M B$.*

Proof. By construction of topological chiral homology as a geometric realization, there is a spectral sequence converging to the relative homology $H_*(\int_M B, \int_M A)$ with E^1 -page given by the relative homology

$$E_{p,q}^1 = H_q(\mathbf{M}^\theta((\mathbf{E}_n^\theta)^p(B)), \mathbf{M}^\theta((\mathbf{E}_n^\theta)^p(A)))$$

We will show that, under the hypothesis in the lemma, the E^1 page vanishes for $q \leq N$, which will imply the theorem. This vanishing follows from the fact that if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an N -equivalence, then so are the maps $\mathbf{M}^\theta(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^\theta(Y)$ and $\mathbf{E}_n^\theta(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_n^\theta(Y)$. In spaces, this fact follows from spectral sequence comparison applied to the Serre spectral sequence for fibrations of the type $\mathbf{M}^\theta(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^\theta(\text{pt})$ induced by the map from X to a point. For chain complexes, see Lemma 3.6 and [Dre67]. $\square$

2.5. Topological chiral homology of partial $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras and completions. In later sections, we will want to construct $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras by adding new generators or relations. This is done by creating an intermediate object called a partial $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra, and then freely completing the result to obtain an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra. In this subsection we make this procedure precise.

Definition 2.20. The *structure of a partial $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra* on an object A of $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Top}, \mathbf{Ch}, \mathbf{Top}_C$ or $\mathbf{Ch}_C$ is a simplicial object $A_\bullet$ in $\mathbf{C}$ such that $A_0 = \mathbf{E}_n^\theta(A)$, $A_p \subset (\mathbf{E}_n^\theta)^{p+1}(A) = B_p(\mathbf{E}_n^\theta, \mathbf{E}_n^\theta, A)$ and all the degeneracy maps and the face maps except the last face map are restrictions of the monad structure maps of $\mathbf{E}_n^\theta$.

We can consider the geometric realization $\|A_\bullet\|$ in $\mathbf{C}$, which we denote by $\bar{A}$. Since $A_\bullet$ is an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra in simplicial objects in $\mathbf{C}$, $\bar{A}$ is also an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra. Furthermore, note that there is a canonical map from A to $\bar{A}$ given by including A as $\text{id} \odot A$ viewed as 0-simplices of $\bar{A}$.

Definition 2.21. We call the $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra $\bar{A}$ the *completion* of $A_\bullet$ (or the completion of A , when the partial $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra structure on A is implicit).

Example 2.22. If A is $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra, then it in particular can be considered as a partial $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra $A_\bullet$ by taking $A_\bullet = B_\bullet(\mathbf{E}_n^\theta, \mathbf{E}_n^\theta, A)$ with face maps induced by the operad composition and the $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra structure on A . If we apply the completion construction to $A_\bullet$, the augmentation induces a canonical map $\bar{A}_\bullet \rightarrow A$ which is a quasi-isomorphism or weak equivalence by an extra degeneracy argument.

Example 2.23. In all cases that we will consider, we will have that $A_1 = \mathbf{E}_n^\theta(\text{Comp}_1)$ for some $\text{Comp}_1 \subset \mathbf{E}_n^\theta(A)$ with a map $c_1 : \text{Comp}_1 \rightarrow A$ such that c_1 is associative and unital in the following sense:

- (i) if Comp_2 and Comp'_2 are defined respectively as the pull backs

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Comp}_2 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{E}_n^\theta(\text{Comp}_1) \\ \tilde{c}_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{E}_n^\theta(c_1) \\ \text{Comp}_1 & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbf{E}_n^\theta(A) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Comp}'_2 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (\mathbf{E}_n^\theta)^2(A) \\ \tilde{c}'_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow c \\ \text{Comp}_1 & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbf{E}_n^\theta(A) \end{array}$$

where i is the inclusion and $c : (\mathbf{E}_n^\theta)^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_n^\theta$ the monad composition, then we have $\text{Comp}_2 \subset \text{Comp}'_2$ and $c_1 \circ \tilde{c}_2 = c_1 \circ \tilde{c}'_2$ on Comp_2 .

- (ii) $\text{id} \odot A \subset \text{Comp}_1$ and following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \odot -} & \text{Comp}_1 \\ & \searrow & \downarrow c_1 \\ & & A \end{array}$$

For convenience we will denote $c_1(\phi, a)$ by $\phi \cdot a$. One should think of this definition as prescribing the $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -action only on a subset of composable elements. On this subset, we know (i) that if both $\phi \cdot a$ and $\psi \cdot (\phi \cdot a)$ make sense, then so does $(\psi \circ \phi) \cdot a$ and we have $\psi \cdot (\phi \cdot a) = (\psi \circ \phi) \cdot a$, and (ii) that the unit acts by the identity.

Then we will define A_p as $\mathbf{E}_n^\theta(\text{Comp}_p) \subset (\mathbf{E}_n^\theta)^{p+1}(A)$, where we set $\text{Comp}_0 = A$ and $\text{Comp}_p \subset (\mathbf{E}_n^\theta)^p(A)$ is defined inductively as follows. Suppose we have defined Comp_{p-1} and a map $c_{p-1} : \text{Comp}_{p-1} \rightarrow A$, then Comp_p is the pull back

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Comp}_p & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{E}_n^\theta(\text{Comp}_{p-1}) \\ \tilde{c}_p \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{E}_n^\theta(c_{p-1}) \\ \text{Comp}_1 & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbf{E}_n^\theta(A) \end{array}$$

with i the inclusion. We also define c_p to be $c_1 \circ \tilde{c}_p$. In words, Comp_p consists of pairs $(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_p, a)$ of elements of A and those p -tuples of embeddings so that the successive action $\phi_i \cdots \phi_p \cdot a$ of these operations using c_1 is defined. Then A_p consists of disks labeled by such pairs, i.e. pairs $(\phi_0, \dots, \phi_p, a)$ so that for $i \geq 1$ the successive action $\phi_i \cdots \phi_p \cdot a$ is defined (condition (i) says that this notation is well-defined).

We now define the face and degeneracy maps on $A_\bullet$. For $p \geq 1$, there is a map $(\mathbf{E}_n^\theta)^2(A_{p-1}) \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_n^\theta(A_{p-1})$ given by the monad composition in the outermost two copies of $\mathbf{E}_n^\theta$:

$$\begin{aligned} c : (\mathbf{E}_n^\theta)^2(A_{p-1}) &\rightarrow \mathbf{E}_n^\theta(A_{p-1}) \\ (\phi_0, \dots, \phi_p, a) &\mapsto (\phi_0 \circ \phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_p, a) \end{aligned}$$

which restricts to a map $d_0 : A_{p+1} \rightarrow A_p$. We set $d_1 : A_1 \rightarrow A_0$ to be $\mathbf{E}_n^\theta(c_1)$. For $p \geq 2$ and $i \geq 1$ there is a map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_n^\theta(d_i) : \mathbf{E}_n^\theta(A_{p-1}) &\rightarrow \mathbf{E}_n^\theta(A_{p-2}) \\ (\phi_0, \dots, \phi_p, a) &\mapsto \begin{cases} (\phi_0, \dots, \phi_i \circ \phi_{i+1}, \dots, \phi_p, a) & \text{if } i < p \\ (\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{p-1}, \phi_p \cdot a) & \text{if } 1 \leq i = p \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and by (i) we can inductively set d_{i+1} to be the restriction of $\mathbf{E}_n^\theta(d_i)$ to A_p . All the simplicial identities involving just the face maps follow from the properties of the monad.

The degeneracies are induced by the unit natural transformation $\text{id} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_n^\theta$. All of the simplicial identities involving degeneracies follow from the properties of the monad except that we need condition (ii) to make sure that $s_p : A_p \rightarrow A_{p+1}$ has image in A_{p+1} and satisfies $d_{p+1} \circ s_p = \text{id} : A_p \rightarrow A_p$.

Example 2.22 is a special case of this construction. One declares all elements to be composable by taking $\text{Comp}_1 = \mathbf{E}_n^\theta(A)$ and $c : \text{Comp}_1 = \mathbf{E}_n^\theta(A) \rightarrow A$ to be given by the $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra structure on A .

Using the completion of partial $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras, we define topological chiral homology of partial $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras as follows.

Definition 2.24. For A a partial $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra, with structure given by $A_\bullet$ and completion $\bar{A}$, we define $\int_M A$ to be $\int_M \bar{A}$.

In the case of Example 2.23, an equivalent definition of topological chiral homology of a partial algebra $A_\bullet$ is given by the realization of the simplicial object $\mathbf{M}^\theta \text{Comp}_\bullet$ with $\text{Comp}_0 = A$ and the right functor structure providing the missing face map. To see that these two definitions are equivalent, note that $\int_M \bar{A}$ is the realization of the bisimplicial object $[p, p'] \mapsto \mathbf{M}^\theta((\mathbf{E}_n^\theta)^{p+1}(\text{Comp}_{p'}))$ with augmentation to $\mathbf{M}^\theta \text{Comp}_\bullet$ and use an extra degeneracy argument.

2.6. Topological chiral homology for manifold bundles. Suppose we are given a manifold bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ with fibers diffeomorphic to M and equipped with θ -framing on the fibers, i.e. a bundle map $T_v E := \ker(\pi) \subset TE \rightarrow \theta^* \gamma$. Then we will define $\int_{E \downarrow B} A$ for an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra A by modifying the right functor $\mathbf{M}^\theta$ over $\mathbf{E}_n^\theta$ as follows. Let $\text{Emb}_B(\sqcup_k(\mathbb{R}^n \times B), E)$ be the space of embeddings $\sqcup_k(\mathbb{R}^n \times B) \hookrightarrow E$ compatible with the identity map on B . Similarly define $\text{Bun}_{\theta^* \gamma, B}(\sqcup_k T(\mathbb{R}^n \times B), E)$ as the space of vector bundle maps $\sqcup_k T_v(\mathbb{R}^n \times B) \rightarrow T_v E$ compatible with $\sqcup_k T\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \theta^* \gamma$ and $T_v E \rightarrow \theta^* \gamma$. Then $\text{Emb}_B^\theta(\sqcup_k(\mathbb{R}^n \times B), E)$ is defined to be the pull back

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Emb}_B^\theta(\sqcup_k(\mathbb{R}^n \times B), E) & \dashrightarrow & \text{PBun}_{\theta^* \gamma}(\sqcup_k T_v(\mathbb{R}^n \times B), T_v E) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Emb}_B(\sqcup_k(\mathbb{R}^n \times B), E) & \longrightarrow & \text{Bun}_B(\sqcup_k T_v(\mathbb{R}^n \times B), T_v E) \end{array}$$

Definition 2.25. Let $\mathbf{E} \downarrow \mathbf{B}^\theta$ be the following right functor over $\mathbf{E}_n^\theta$

$$C \mapsto \bigsqcup_{k \geq 0} \text{Emb}_B^\theta(\sqcup_k(\mathbb{R}^n \times B), E) \odot_{\mathfrak{S}_k} C^{\otimes k}$$

where the right functor structure comes from composition of embeddings.

Definition 2.26. The *fiberwise topological chiral homology* $\int_{E \downarrow B} A$ of the manifold bundle $E \rightarrow B$ is the geometric realization $\|B_\bullet(\mathbf{E} \downarrow \mathbf{B}^\theta, \mathbf{E}_n^\theta, A)\|$.

2.7. Stabilization maps. If M is a connected non-compact n -dimensional manifold, there is a classical map $C_k(M) \rightarrow C_{k+1}(M)$ of configuration spaces introduced by McDuff [McD75] given by “bringing in a particle from infinity.” This is usually called the stabilization map. It can be generalized to a map $\int_M^{\mathbf{c}} A \rightarrow \int_M^{\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{c}_0} A$ between the components of topological chiral homology of a charged algebra, as long as $\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{c}_0$ is defined in the partial monoid C .

The construction of this map uses the fact that topological chiral homology is functorial with respect to open θ -framed embeddings to make space near the boundary to add in a new labeled embedded disk. We describe the construction only in the category $\mathbf{Ch}$ of chain complexes, as the construction in the category $\mathbf{Top}$ of spaces is similar. The resulting map will depend on two choices; (i) an element $a \in A(\mathbf{c}_0)$, (ii) a θ -framed embedding $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \sqcup M \rightarrow M$ such that $\psi|_M$ is isotopic to id_M .

Lemma 2.27. *If M is a θ -framed connected non-compact manifold, an embedding ψ as above exists. Up to isotopy it only depends on the choice of a component in the space of ends of M .*

Proof. Because M has a non-compact component, the space of ends is non-empty. An end of M is by definition an equivalence class of proper maps $[0, 1) \rightarrow M$, which without loss of generality can be taken to be an embedding. Take a tubular neighborhood of it and denote the resulting embedding $D^{n-1} \times [0, 1) \rightarrow M$ by ϕ_0 . There is an θ -framed embedding $\psi_{\mathbb{R}^n} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow D^{n-1} \times [0, 1)$ whose image lies in $\{(\vec{x}, t) \mid \|\vec{x}\| \leq \frac{1}{2}, t \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$. Similarly there exists a self-embedding $\psi_M : D^{n-1} \times [0, 1) \rightarrow D^{n-1} \times [0, 1)$ isotopic to the identity that is the identity near $\partial(D^{n-1} \times [0, 1))$ and avoids the subset $\{(x, t) \mid \|x\| \leq$

$\frac{1}{2}, t \geq \frac{1}{2}\} = \text{im}(\phi_D)$. We can then take ψ as follows. On $\mathbb{R}^n$ it is given by $\phi_0 \circ \psi_{\mathbb{R}^n}$. On M it is given by the identity on $M \setminus \text{im}(\phi_0) \subset M$ and by $\phi_0 \circ \psi_D \circ \phi_0^{-1}$ on $\text{im}(\phi_0) \subset M$.

For the uniqueness statement, we check that all other choices that were made during the construction are unique up to isotopy. Firstly, any two proper embeddings $[0, 1) \rightarrow M$ representing the same end are isotopic by making a homotopy between the two embeddings generic. Secondly, the space of tubular neighborhoods of a submanifold is contractible. Thirdly, the space θ -framed embeddings $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow D^{n-1} \times [0, 1)$ is equivalent to the same of θ -framed embeddings $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and hence path-connected by Lemma 2.2. Finally, ψ_M is unique up to isotopy by contractibility of the space of collars. $\square$

Let $\mathbf{Emb}^\theta$ denote the category of θ -framed manifolds with θ -framed embeddings as morphisms. Disjoint union gives $\mathbf{Emb}^\theta$ the structure of a symmetric monoidal category. Consider $\mathbf{Ch}$ as a symmetric monoidal category with tensor product. Topological chiral homology with coefficients in a fixed $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra A gives a symmetric monoidal functor from $\mathbf{Emb}^\theta$ to $\mathbf{Ch}$. This functionality is induced by composition of embeddings. Thus the map $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \sqcup M \rightarrow M$ induces a map

$$\psi' : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A \otimes \int_M A \rightarrow \int_M A$$

When A is a C -charged algebra, the monoidal structure is compatible with charge and so the maps ψ' are additive with respect to charge. Using the natural equivalence $A \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A$ and restricting to specific charges we get maps

$$\psi'' : A(\mathbf{c}_0) \otimes \int_M^{\mathbf{c}} A \rightarrow \int_M^{\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{c}_0} A$$

as long as $\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{c}_0$ is defined in C . We can now define the stabilization maps.

Definition 2.28. Suppose that $\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{c}_0$ is defined in C . Let $a \in A(\mathbf{c}_0)$, then $t_a : \int_M^{\mathbf{c}} A \rightarrow \int_M^{\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{c}_0} A$ is the map given by $t_a(b) = \psi''(a, b)$.

Note that this definition also makes sense for θ -framed manifold bundles $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ if there exists a fiberwise proper embedding $[0, 1) \times B \hookrightarrow E$ over B . The resulting map will similarly be denoted $t_a : \int_{E \downarrow B} A \rightarrow \int_{E \downarrow B} A$.

2.8. Homological stability. We can now give a precise definition of homological stability for topological chiral homology. We will only do this in the case that $C = \mathbb{N}_0^d$. For each representative $a_i \in A(\mathbf{e}_i)$ of a generator of $H_0(A(\mathbf{e}_i))$, we get a *basic stabilization map*

$$t_i : \int_M^{\mathbf{k}} A \rightarrow \int_M^{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{e}_i} A.$$

The dependence on a_i and ψ mean that basic stabilization maps depend on a choice of sign and component of the space of ends of M .

Definition 2.29. Let $C = \mathbb{N}_0^d$, $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$, and let M be an θ -framed connected non-compact manifold.

- (i) Let A be a C -charged $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra in $\mathbf{Ch}$, then we say that A *has homological stability on M* if there is a function $\rho : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \rho(j) = \infty$ such that all basic stabilization maps

$$t_i : H_* \left(\int_M^{\mathbf{k}} A \right) \rightarrow H_* \left(\int_M^{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{e}_i} A \right)$$

are $\rho(k_i)$ -equivalences.

- (ii) Let X be a C -charged $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra in $\mathbf{Top}$, then we say that X *has homological stability on M* if $C_*(X)$ has.

3. CELL ATTACHMENTS

In this section we define $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell attachments and study their effect on homology.

Convention 3.1. In this section we fix the fibration θ and monoid of charges C , and hence suppress them from our notation whenever convenient. For example, if we mention charged algebras, we mean C -charged $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras.

3.1. $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell attachments. Using the completion procedure of the previous section, we can now define $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell attachments in $\mathbf{Ch}$ or $\mathbf{Ch}_C$. This is the relevant case for our main theorem, and $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell attachments in $\mathbf{Top}$ behave essentially the same. See Remark 3.5 for a discussion of the relationship between our construction and similar constructions in the literature.

Let A be a charged algebra. Fixing a $b_{N-1} \in A(\mathbf{c})$ of degree $N-1$, we define the partial algebra $A \oplus e_N$ by declaring that e_N is of charge $\mathbf{c}$ and $d(e_N) = b_{N-1}$ and declaring no operation on e_N is defined except the identity. We now make this definition precise:

Definition 3.2. Let $D = A \oplus e_N$ be the chain complex with underlying graded abelian group $A \oplus e_N$ and $d(e_N) = b_{N-1}$. This can be given the structure of a partial charged algebra by setting

$$D_p = \mathbf{E}_n^\theta((\mathbf{E}_n^\theta)^p(A) \oplus \text{id}^p \otimes e_N) \subset B_p(\mathbf{E}_n^\theta, \mathbf{E}_n^\theta, D)$$

This is a particular case of Example 2.23, where $\text{Comp}_1 = \mathbf{E}_n^\theta(A) \oplus \text{id} \otimes e_N \subset \mathbf{E}_n^\theta(A \oplus e_N)$ and $c_1 : \text{Comp}_1 \rightarrow A$ is given by the $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -action on $\mathbf{E}_n^\theta(A)$ and the identity on $\text{id} \otimes e_N$. It is clear that condition (i) holds, and (ii) follows from the fact that Comp_2 is equal to $(\mathbf{E}_n^\theta)^2(A) \oplus \text{id}^2 \otimes e_N$. We have that $\text{Comp}_p = (\mathbf{E}_n^\theta)^p(A) \oplus \text{id}^p \otimes e_N$.

We can now define charged algebras obtained by $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell attachments.

Definition 3.3. Let b_{N-1} and $A \oplus e_N$ be as before. We let the charged algebra $A \uplus e_N$ be the completion of the partial charged algebra $A \oplus e_N$, i.e. $A \uplus e_N = ||D_\bullet||$, and say that $A \uplus e_N$ is *obtained from $A \oplus e_N$ by attaching an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell e_N to A* .

This generalizes in a straightforward fashion to any collection of $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cells $\{e_N^j\}_{j \in J}$ attached along $\{b_{N-1}^j\}_{j \in J}$, resulting in the structure of a partial charged algebra on $A \oplus \bigoplus_{j \in J} e_N^j$ and a definition of $A \uplus \bigoplus_{j \in J} e_N^j$ as its completion.

Our next goal is to show that maps out of $A \uplus e_N$ into B can be constructed out of a map $f : A \rightarrow B$ of charged algebras and an element $e \in B(k)_N$ such that $d(e) = f(b_{N-1})$. All maps arise in this fashion up to homotopy, but we will not need this. The obvious generalization of the following lemma to attachments of a collection of $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cells is also true, but to simplify notation we give the proof in the case of a single $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $A \uplus e_N$ be the charged algebra obtained by attaching an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell e_N to A along b_{N-1} in charge k , f a map $A \rightarrow B$ and e an element $e \in B(k)_N$ such that $d(e) = f(b_{N-1})$. We can construct a map $\hat{f} : A \uplus e_N \rightarrow B$ of charged algebras such that $\hat{f}(e_N) = e$ and such that the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \uplus e_N & \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} & B \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow f \\ \bar{A}_\bullet & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & A \end{array}$$

Proof. Recall the intermediate simplicial object $D_\bullet$ in Definition 3.2 and that by Example 2.22 B gives a partial charged algebra $B_\bullet = B_\bullet(\mathbf{E}_n^\theta, \mathbf{E}_n^\theta, B)$ with quasi-isomorphism $\bar{B} = ||B_\bullet|| \rightarrow B$. The idea is to construct a map $D_\bullet \rightarrow B_\bullet$ out of the map $f : A \rightarrow B$ and the element $e \in B(k)_N$ such that $d(e) = f(b_{N-1})$. Then geometric realization and the augmentation gives us the map $A \uplus e_N \rightarrow B$ defined as $\bar{D} \rightarrow \bar{B} \rightarrow B$. To construct the map $D_\bullet \rightarrow B_\bullet$ we only need to define a map $\text{Comp}_p \rightarrow (\mathbf{E}_n^\theta)^p(B)$. This is easy, as $\text{Comp}_p \subset (\mathbf{E}_n^\theta)^p(A \oplus e_N)$ and we can just use the map induced by the map $A \oplus e_N \rightarrow B$ given by direct summing f and $e_N \mapsto e$. One easily checks that this has the desired properties. $\square$

Remark 3.5. This construction hints at the fact that an alternative definition of an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell attachment is given by the push out $A \cup_{\mathbb{F}(S^{N-1})} \mathbb{F}(D^N)$ in the $(\infty, 1)$ -category of C -charged $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras, where $\mathbb{F}$ is the free algebra functor. Equivalently one can work in a suitable model category of $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras, see [BM07], Proposition 3.10 of [Sal01] and its references, or Section 2 of [Hor13]. A definition of $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell structures in terms of push outs is used to define cofibrant replacement for algebras over operads. We also believe that there is an $(\infty, 1)$ -category (or model category) of partial algebras over an operad such that the forgetful functor from algebras to partial algebras and the completion functor from partial algebras to algebras form an adjunction.

3.2. The homology after an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell attachment. Let $C_r(M)$ denote the configuration space of r unordered particles in M . Note that there is a manifold bundle $E_r(M)$ over $C_r(M)$ given by the subspace of $M \times C_r(M)$ of $(m, \{m_1, \dots, m_r\})$ such that $m \neq m_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. The fiber of this manifold bundle over a point $\{m_1, \dots, m_r\} \in C_r(M)$ is $M \setminus \{m_1, \dots, m_r\}$.

We will need to consider a variation of this manifold bundle, with $C_r(M)$ replaced by the configuration space with labels $C_r(M; \theta(TM))$. Here $\theta(TM)$ is the bundle over M with fiber over $m \in M$ given by the θ -framed embeddings of $\mathbb{R}^n$ into M sending $\vec{0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to $m \in M$. These fibers are connected by Lemma 2.2. Then $C_k(M; \theta(TM))$, the configuration space of unordered particles with labels in the bundle $\theta(TM)$, is given by the following subspace of $(M \times \theta(TM))^k / \mathfrak{S}_k$:

$$C_k(M; \theta(TM)) = \{((x_1, m_1), \dots, (x_k, m_k)) \mid x_i \in M, m_i \in \theta(TM)_{x_i} \text{ and } x_i \neq x_j \text{ for } i \neq j\} / \mathfrak{S}_k$$

with the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_k$ acting diagonally. There is a map $C_r(M; \theta(TM)) \rightarrow C_r(M)$, forgetting the labels in the frame bundle. We let $E_r(M; \theta(TM))$ denote the pullback of $E_r(M)$ along this map.

Lemma 3.6. *There is a spectral sequence*

$$E_{p,q}^2 = H_p \left(C_r(M; \theta(TM)); \mathcal{H}_q \left(\int_{M \setminus \{r \text{ points}\}}^{\mathbf{c}} A \right) \right) \Rightarrow H_{p+q} \left(\int_{E_r(M; \theta(TM)) \downarrow C_r(M; \theta(TM))}^l A \right)$$

where $\mathcal{H}_q(\int_{M \setminus \{r \text{ points}\}}^{\mathbf{c}} A)$ is the local system over $C_r(M; \theta(TM))$ obtained by pulling back the local system over $C_r(M)$ with fiber $H_q(\int_{M \setminus \{m_1, \dots, m_r\}}^{\mathbf{c}} A)$ over the point $\{m_1, \dots, m_r\} \in C_r(M)$.

Sketch of proof. This is a consequence of more general construction of a spectral sequence

$$E_{p,q}^2 = H_p \left(B; \mathcal{H}_q \left(\int_F^{\mathbf{c}} A \right) \right) \Rightarrow H_{p+q} \left(\int_{E \downarrow B}^{\mathbf{c}} A \right)$$

for a bundle $f : E \rightarrow B$ of manifolds with θ -framed fibers F . If A were an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra in $\mathbf{Top}$ this is essentially the Serre spectral sequence for a fibration

$$\pi : \int_{E \downarrow B} A \rightarrow B$$

To deal with $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras in $\mathbf{Ch}$ we will generalize Dress' bisimplicial construction of the Serre spectral sequence as in section 6.4 of [McC01]. Let $S_{\bullet, \bullet}$ be the bisimplicial abelian group given by setting $S_{p,q}$ to be the free abelian group on the pairs (u, v) with $u : \Delta^p \times \Delta^q \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{k \geq 0} \text{Emb}_B^\theta(\bigsqcup_k(\mathbb{R}^n \times B), E \downarrow B)$ and v in $C_q(B)$ such that $\pi \circ u = v \circ \pi_{\Delta^q}$. Intuitively these are Δ^q -indexed families of p -simplices. Let $S'_{p,q}$ denote the corresponding double chain complex. We can define a functor $\mathbf{S}_{*,*}$ from chain complexes to double chain complexes by

$$D_* \mapsto \mathbf{S}_{p,q}(D) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \bigoplus_{q' + q'' = q} S_{p,q'} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_k} (D^{\otimes k})_{q''}$$

This is a right functor over $\mathbf{E}_n^\theta$ and thus we can define the simplicial double complex $B_{p,q,\bullet} = B_\bullet(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}_n^\theta, D)$, where the p -direction comes from the first direction in $\mathbf{S}$ and the q -direction comes from the second direction in $\mathbf{S}$ and other chain complexes. If we set $S'_{p,q}$ to be the double chain complex obtained by making the simplicial direction into a third chain complex direction and taking the

total complex in the last two directions, we can filter this by the p -simplices or the q -simplices. We claim that like in Dress' construction it is true that

$$H_p(H_q(S'_{*,*})) = \begin{cases} H_q(\int_{E \downarrow B}^c A) & \text{if } p = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$H_q(H_p(S'_{*,*})) = H_p\left(B; \mathcal{H}_q\left(\int_F^c A\right)\right)$$

This follows from the proof of Theorem 6.47 of [McC01]. We start with the first identification. Note that $\|B_{0,*}, \bullet\|$ is isomorphic to $\|B_\bullet(\mathbf{E} \downarrow \mathbf{B}^\theta, \mathbf{E}_n^\theta, D)\|$. In Lemma 6.48 of [McC01], McCleary proved that for fixed p the complex $\mathbf{S}_{p,*}(D)$ is naturally quasi-isomorphic to $\mathbf{S}_{0,*}(D)$ and under this identification the differentials in the p direction are alternatively the identity or zero. This implies the first identification.

For the second identification, we note that McCleary proves that the homology $H_q(H_p(S'_{*,*}))$ is computed by the complex generated by $v \in \Delta_p(B)$ and a class in $H_*(S'_{*,q}(v))$, where $S'_{*,q}(v)$ is the subcomplex of $S'_{*,q}$ with element in $C_q(B)$ equal to v . Since f is a fibration, this can be identified with $S'_{0,q}(e_0)$ with $e_0 \in v$ the initial vertex. But we can identify the homology of this complex with $H_q(\int_F^c A)$. What is left is the identification of the action of $\pi_1(B)$ on this local system, for which we refer to Dress' original article [Dre67]. $\square$

The following proposition involves the homology of components of charge $\mathbf{c} - p\mathbf{k}$, which by definition is the unique element of C such that $(\mathbf{c} - p\mathbf{k}) + p \cdot \mathbf{k} = \mathbf{c}$, where $p \cdot \mathbf{k}$ means adding $\mathbf{k}$ to itself p times. This may not exist for large p and if it does not, the homology will be defined to be 0.

Proposition 3.7. *Suppose e_N is attached to A in charge k along b_{N-1} , then there is a spectral sequence*

$$E_{p,q}^1 = H_{q-(N-1)p} \left(\int_{E_p(M; \theta(TM)) \downarrow C_p(M; \theta(TM))}^{\mathbf{c}-p\mathbf{k}} A \right) \Rightarrow H_{p+q} \left(\int_M^c A \uplus e_N \right)$$

and if $b_{N-1} = 0$ the spectral sequence collapses at the E^2 -page.

Proof. One can filter the chain complex $\|\mathbf{M}^\theta \text{Comp}_\bullet\|$ by the number of e_N 's appearing. This filtration leads to a spectral sequence, which we claim is the one mentioned in the proposition. Its convergence follows from the finiteness of the filtrations in each homological degree. The associated graded is independent of b_{N-1} , so it suffices to identify it in the case $b_{N-1} = 0$. In that case the filtration exactly comes from a direct sum decomposition with summands the subcomplexes of $\|\mathbf{M}^\theta \text{Comp}_\bullet\|$ with exactly p e_N 's. This causes both the collapse in the case $b_{N-1} = 0$ and gives the identification of the E^1 -page (note there is a shift of Np from the p e_N 's). $\square$

A first consequence of this is that we can easily compute the effect of $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell attachments in homology in degrees less than or equal to the degree of the cell.

Corollary 3.8. *Suppose that e_N is attached in charge $\mathbf{k}$ along b_{N-1} .*

- (i) *If $\mathbf{c} < \mathbf{k}$ (that is, there exists no $\mathbf{c}'$ such that $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}' + \mathbf{k}$), we have that $H_*(A(\mathbf{c})) \rightarrow H_*((A \uplus e_N)(\mathbf{c}))$ induces an isomorphism.*
- (ii) *In general we have that $H_*(A) \rightarrow H_*(A \uplus e_N)$ induces an isomorphism for $* \leq N - 2$.*
- (iii) *If $\mathbf{c} \geq \mathbf{k}$, (that is, there exists a $\mathbf{c}'$ such that $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}' + \mathbf{k}$), there is an exact sequence*

$$H_N(A(\mathbf{c})) \rightarrow H_N((A \uplus e_N)(\mathbf{c})) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H_{N-1}(A(\mathbf{c})) \rightarrow H_{N-1}((A \uplus e_N)(\mathbf{c})) \rightarrow 0$$

where the map $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H_{N-1}(A(\mathbf{c}))$ is induced by $1 \mapsto t_{\mathbf{c}'}(b_{N-1})$. In particular, attaching an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell of degree N with trivial attaching map does not affect H_{N-1} .

- (iv) *If $b_{N-1} = 0$ the map $H_N(A(\mathbf{c})) \rightarrow H_N((A \uplus e_N)(\mathbf{c}))$ is split injective and thus $H_N((A \uplus e_N)(\mathbf{c})) \cong H_N(A(\mathbf{c})) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Parts (i), (ii) and (iii) are a consequence of studying the initial parts of spectral sequences in Lemma 3.6 and Proposition 3.7. In particular one notes that on the E^1 -page of the spectral sequence of Proposition 3.7 we have that (a) $E_{0,q}^1 = H_q(\int_M^{\mathbf{k}} A)$, (b) $E_{p,q}^1 = 0$ if $p \geq 1$ and $q \leq N - 2$, (c) $E_{p,q}^1$

if $p \geq 1$ if $\mathbf{c} < \mathbf{k}$, and (d) $E_{1,N-1}^1 = \mathbb{Z}$ if there exists a $\mathbf{c}'$ such that $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}' + \mathbf{k}$. Now specialize to $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ and use that $A(\mathbf{c}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{\mathbf{c}} A$. For part (iv), one just needs to note that if $b_{N-1} = 0$ there is a map $A \uplus e_N \rightarrow A$ sending e_N to 0, which on homology splits the map $A \simeq \bar{A}_\bullet \rightarrow A \uplus e_N$. $\square$

3.3. $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell attachments preserve homological stability. Computing the homology in higher degrees is harder, but we can use the spectral sequences to deduce that $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell attachments preserve homological stability. To do so, we restrict ourselves to monoids of charges given by $C = \mathbb{N}_0^d$. We will prove a slightly stronger statement than we will eventually need.

Corollary 3.9. *Let $C = \mathbb{N}_0^d$, $n \geq 2$ and let M be a θ -framed non-compact connected manifold. Suppose that A is a charged algebra such that for each $r \geq 0$ the space $\int_{M \setminus \{r \text{ points}\}} A$ has homological stability in a range that does not depend on r , then $\int_M (A \uplus e_N)$ has homological stability.*

Moreover let $\rho : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a function satisfying (i) $\rho(\mathbf{a}) < \rho(\mathbf{b})$ if $a_i < b_i$ and $a_j \geq b_j$ for $j \neq i$, (ii) $\rho(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) \leq \rho(\mathbf{a}) + \rho(\mathbf{b})$ for all $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in C$. Let e_N be attached in charge $\mathbf{k}$ with $\rho(\mathbf{k}) \leq N$. Assume that for all $r \geq 0$ we have

$$t_i : \int_{M \setminus \{r \text{ points}\}}^{\mathbf{c}} A \rightarrow \int_{M \setminus \{r \text{ points}\}}^{\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{e}_i} A$$

is a $\rho(\mathbf{c})$ -equivalence. Then

$$t_i : \int_M^{\mathbf{c}} A \uplus e_N \rightarrow \int_M^{\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{e}_i} A \uplus e_N$$

is also a $\rho(\mathbf{c})$ -equivalence.

Proof. First note that the second part of this corollary implies the first. The idea of the proof is to apply the two spectral sequences of Proposition 3.7 and Lemma 3.6 above. Firstly, Lemma 3.6 implies that $H_*(\int_{E_r(M; \theta(TM)) \downarrow C_r(M; \theta(TM))}^{\mathbf{j}} A)$ has homological stability in the same range as $H_*(\int_{M \setminus \{r \text{ pts}\}}^{\mathbf{j}} A)$. This is a consequence of spectral sequence comparison applied to the stabilization map

$$H_* \left(\int_{E_r(M; \theta(TM)) \downarrow C_r(M; \theta(TM))}^{\mathbf{j}} A \right) \rightarrow H_* \left(\int_{E_r(M; \theta(TM)) \downarrow C_r(M; \theta(TM))}^{\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{e}_i} A \right).$$

On the E^2 -page this induces the map

$$\begin{aligned} E_{p,q}^2 &= H_p \left(C_r(M; \theta(TM)); \mathcal{H}_q \left(\int_{M \setminus \{r \text{ points}\}}^{\mathbf{j}} A \right) \right) \\ &\downarrow \\ (E')_{p,q}^2 &= H_p \left(C_r(M; \theta(TM)); \mathcal{H}_q \left(\int_{M \setminus \{r \text{ points}\}}^{\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{e}_i} A \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

coming from the map $\mathcal{H}_q(\int_{M \setminus \{r \text{ pts}\}}^{\mathbf{j}} A) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_q(\int_{M \setminus \{r \text{ pts}\}}^{\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{e}_i} A)$ which for fixed q is an isomorphism for j sufficiently large by hypothesis. Quantitatively, we have that for all r and all $\mathbf{j}$, the map

$$t : \int_{E_r(M; \theta(TM)) \downarrow C_r(M; \theta(TM))}^{\mathbf{j}} A \rightarrow \int_{E_r(M; \theta(TM)) \downarrow C_r(M; \theta(TM))}^{\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{e}_i} A$$

is a $\rho(\mathbf{j})$ -equivalence.

The corollary then follows from this using Proposition 3.7 by a similar argument: the stabilization map $H_*(\int_M^{\mathbf{c}} A \oplus_{E_n} e_N) \rightarrow H_*(\int_M^{\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{e}_i} A \oplus_{E_n} e_N)$ induces on the E^1 -page the map

$$\begin{aligned} E_{p,q}^1 &= H_{q-(N-1)p} \left(\int_{E_p(M; \theta(TM)) \downarrow C_p(M; \theta(TM))}^{\mathbf{c} - p\mathbf{k}} A \right) \\ &\downarrow \\ (E')_{p,q}^1 &= H_{q-(N-1)p} \left(\int_{E_p(M; \theta(TM)) \downarrow C_p(M; \theta(TM))}^{\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{e}_i - p\mathbf{k}} A \right) \end{aligned}$$

We will first prove it is a surjection for $p + q \leq \rho(\mathbf{c})$. There are now three cases to consider: (i) $c_i - pk_i < -1$ or $c_j - pk_j \leq -1$ for all $j \neq i$, (ii) $c_i - pk_i = -1$ and $c_j - pk_j \geq 0$ for all $j \neq i$, and (iii) $c_j - pk_j \geq 0$ for all j .

- (i) In the first case we are considering a map between zero chain complexes, which is an isomorphism.
- (ii) In the second case we are considering a map from a zero chain complex to a non-zero one. This is obviously an isomorphism in negative homological degrees, i.e. if $q - (N - 1)p < 0$, but we need it to be a surjection for all $p + q \leq \rho(\mathbf{c})$. Note that $p\rho(\mathbf{k}) \geq \rho(p\mathbf{k})$ by part (ii) of our assumptions on ρ and $\rho(p\mathbf{k}) > \rho(\mathbf{c})$ by part (i) of our assumptions on ρ since $c_i = pk_i - 1 < pk_i$ but $c_j = pk_j$. So it suffices to prove that it is a surjection for $p + q \leq p\rho(\mathbf{k})$ if $q - (N - 1)p \geq 0$. This is true because the inequality $q - (N - 1)p \geq 0$ is equivalent to $p + q \leq pN$, and we assumed that $\rho(\mathbf{k}) \leq N$.
- (iii) Finally, in the third case, the map on the (p, q) -entry of E^1 -page is a surjection if $q - (N - 1)p \leq \rho(\mathbf{c} - p\mathbf{k})$, or in other words if $p + q \leq \rho(\mathbf{c} - p\mathbf{k}) + Np$. We want a surjection for all $p + q \leq \rho(\mathbf{c})$, which happens if $\rho(\mathbf{c}) \leq \rho(\mathbf{c} - p\mathbf{k}) + Np$. By our assumption regarding where the $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell is attached, N is at least $\rho(\mathbf{k})$ and we get the demand $\rho(\mathbf{c}) \leq \rho(\mathbf{c} - p\mathbf{k}) + \rho(\mathbf{k})p$ on ρ . This follows from $\rho(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) \leq \rho(\mathbf{a}) + \rho(\mathbf{b})$ for all $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in C$.

Thus the map on the E_{pq}^1 -pages is a surjection for $p + q \leq \rho(\mathbf{c})$. A similar argument shows that it is an isomorphism for $p + q \leq \rho(\mathbf{c}) - 1$. The corollary now follows from spectral sequence comparison. $\square$

Again these results have analogues for the attachment of any collection of $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cells of the same dimension and charge, which involve configuration spaces with labels in the indexing set of the $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cells.

3.4. Bounded generation. The definitions of the previous subsection lead us to the definition of bounded generation, which we will only give for $C = \mathbb{N}_0^d$. We treat the degree zero case separately and put restriction on the $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cells of degree one to make sure we stay in the category of charged algebras.

Definition 3.10. Let the monoid of charges be given by $C = \mathbb{N}_0^d$.

- (i) We say that a charged algebra A is *obtained from A' by $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell attachments of degree N in charge $\leq C$* if there exists a possibly infinite collection of $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cells $\{e_i^N\}_{i \in I}$ attached along $\{b_i\}_{i \in I}$ of homological degree $N - 1$ and charge $\leq C$, such that there is an isomorphism

$$A' \uplus \biguplus_{i \in I} e_i^N \rightarrow A$$

If $N = 1$ we require the attaching maps to be trivial, so that the underlying C -charged chain complexes remain connected.

- (ii) We say that a C -charged algebra A is *bounded cellular of degree ≤ 0* if $A = 0 \uplus \biguplus_{i=1}^d e_i^0$ with each e_i^0 of degree 0.
- (iii) We say that a charged algebra A is *bounded cellular of degree $\leq N$* if A is obtained from an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra which is bounded generated in degree ≤ 0 , by $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell attachments of $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cells such that those of homological degrees $n \leq N$ are attached in finitely many charges $\mathbf{k}$.

We can now define bounded generation, the notion appearing in the main theorem.

Definition 3.11. (i) A charged algebra A is said to be *bounded generated in degrees $\leq N$* if there is an $(N + 1)$ -equivalence $f : B \rightarrow A$ with B bounded cellular of degree $\leq N$.
(ii) It is said to be *bounded generated* if it is bounded generated in degrees $\leq N$ for all $N \geq 0$.

Remark 3.12. All the previous definitions and constructions work for $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras in **Top**. To give the translation from spaces to chain complexes, one simply thinks of S^{N-1} as the chain complex with a single generator e_N in degree $N - 1$ and D^N as the chain complex with two generators e_N and e_{N_1} in degrees N and $N - 1$ respectively, with $d(e_N) = e_{N-1}$. In the topological case the input thus consists of an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra X and a map $S^{N-1} \rightarrow X$, using which one can define a partial $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra $X \cup D^N$ and its completion denoted by $X \uplus D^N$. The analogues of Corollaries 3.8 and 3.9 are then true.

4. THE PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

In this section, we prove the main theorem (Theorem 1.2) and strengthen it to give a result for homological stability in explicit ranges.

Convention 4.1. In this section we fix the tangential structure θ and set the monoid of charges C to be $\mathbb{N}_0^d$, and hence suppress them from our notation whenever convenient.

Definition 4.2. For $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, we let $|\mathbf{k}|$ denote $\max\{k_i\}$. We call this the *total charge*.

Theorem 4.3. Let $n \geq 2$, $C = \mathbb{N}_0^d$, A be a charged algebra and $\rho : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a strictly increasing function with (i) $\rho(x + y) \leq \rho(x) + \rho(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, (ii) $\rho(x) \leq x/2$ and (iii) $\rho^{-1}(\mathbb{N}_0) \subset \mathbb{N}_0$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) For all $\mathbf{k} \in C$ and each i , the basic stabilization maps $t_i : A(\mathbf{k}) \rightarrow A(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{e}_i)$ are $\rho(k_i)$ -equivalences.
- (ii) For any θ -framed connected non-compact n -dimensional manifold, all $\mathbf{k} \in C$ and each i , the basic stabilization maps $t_i : \int_M^{\mathbf{k}} A \rightarrow \int_M^{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{e}_i} A$ are $\rho(k_i)$ -equivalences.
- (iii) There is a weak equivalence $B \rightarrow A$ where B is bounded cellular such that if B has an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell in positive degree N and with charge $\mathbf{k}$, then $N \geq \rho(|\mathbf{k}|)$.

Here are some remarks about this theorem:

- Theorem 4.3 implies Theorem 1.2. To see this, note that in Definition 3.11, if all $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cells of degree $\leq N$ are attached in finitely many charges, then there exists a function $\rho : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \rho(j) = \infty$ such that all $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cells of degree N are attached in charges $\mathbf{k}$ with $\rho(|\mathbf{k}|) \leq N$. Next remark that for any function $\rho : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \rho(j) = \infty$, there exists another function $\rho' : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \rho'(j) = \infty$ which moreover satisfies the conditions in Theorem 4.3 and has the property that $\lfloor \rho(j) \rfloor \geq \lfloor \rho'(j) \rfloor$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$.
- It is unavoidable that the range of $\int_M A$ might not be as good as that of A . In the case of Example 1.5, note that even though $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has a stability range with infinite slope, its topological chiral homology, $\text{Sym}(M)$, only has a stability range with slope 1.
- As mentioned before, one could imagine a version of this theorem with different ρ_i for each stabilization map, which might depend on more than just the i th coordinate of the charge.
- We do not know of any interesting examples of $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras where the homological stability range ρ is not affine linear.
- The condition that $\rho(x) \leq x/2$ stems from the fact that this is the stability range for free $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras. If one were to invert 2, this can be relaxed to $\rho(x) \leq x$ when $n \geq 3$ [KM14] [CP14]. Rationally this is Theorem B of [RW13].
- Closely related to bounded generated $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras are finitely generated framed $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras. These are cellular algebras with finitely many cells of a given degree. The same proof shows that having homological stability in conjunction with finite type homology, i.e. in each homological degree and charge the homology is finitely generated as an abelian group, is equivalent to finite generation.

Assuming Theorem 1.2, we will give the proof of the local-to-global homological stability principle, i.e. Corollary 1.4. Recall that X was an $\mathcal{E}_n^{\text{SO}}$ -algebra in **Top** with homological stability and $\pi_0(X) = \mathbb{N}_0$. We will instead prove it for θ any framing and $C = \mathbb{N}_0^d$.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Since X has homological stability, so does $C_*(X)$. Thus by Theorem 1.2, $\int_M^{\mathbf{k}} C_*(X)$ has homological stability with M a θ -framed connected non-compact manifold. It now suffices to note that we have that:

$$C_* \left(\int_M X \right) = C_* (||B_\bullet(\mathbf{M}^\theta, \mathbf{E}_n^\theta, X)||) \simeq ||B_\bullet(\mathbf{M}^\theta, \mathbf{E}_n^\theta, C_*(X))|| = \int_M C_*(X)$$

The only content here is in the middle quasi-isomorphism, the claim that singular chains commute with geometric realisation up to quasi-isomorphism. This follows by noting that there is an inclusion $||B_\bullet(\mathbf{M}^\theta, \mathbf{E}_n^\theta, C_*(X))|| \rightarrow C_* (||B_\bullet(\mathbf{M}^\theta, \mathbf{E}_n^\theta, X)||)$ which can be seen to be quasi-isomorphism by filtering

both by the simplicial degree and comparing spectral sequences. Also see Theorem 5.5.2.17 of [Lur11]. $\square$

The proof of Theorem 4.3 involves an induction over the degrees in which we have homological stability. This requires us to make the following definitions. The proof will then follow from determining the interplay of the following four classes of charged algebras.

Definition 4.4. Let $\rho : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a strictly increasing function with (i) $\rho(x + y) \leq \rho(x) + \rho(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, (ii) $\rho(x) \leq x/2$ and (iii) $\rho^{-1}(\mathbb{N}_0) \subset \mathbb{N}_0$. We define the following classes of charged algebras:

- (i) $\mathcal{C}_N^\rho$ is the subclass of bounded cellular algebras (see Definition 3.10) with the $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cells of degree $J \leq N$ and the $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cells of degree j attached in charge $\mathbf{k}$ only if $j \geq \rho(|\mathbf{k}|)$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{B}_N^\rho$ is the class of charged algebras A such that there is an N -equivalence $B \rightarrow A$ with $B \in \mathcal{C}_{N+1}^\rho$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{S}_N^\rho$ is the class of charged algebras A that has homological stability range ρ up to degree N . That is, the map $t_i : A(\mathbf{k}) \rightarrow A(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{e}_i)$ is a $\min(N, \rho(k_i))$ -equivalence.
- (iv) $\mathcal{T}_N^\rho$ is the class of charged algebras A which on θ -framed connected non-compact n -dimensional manifolds M have homological stability range ρ up to degree N . That is, for each i the map $t_i : \int_M^{\mathbf{k}} A \rightarrow \int_M^{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{e}_i} A$ is a $\min(N, \rho(k_i))$ -equivalence.

Also let $\mathcal{B}_\infty^\rho$, $\mathcal{S}_\infty^\rho$ or $\mathcal{T}_\infty^\rho$ denote the intersection of respectively $\mathcal{B}_N^\rho$, $\mathcal{S}_N^\rho$ or $\mathcal{T}_N^\rho$ over all $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

We deduce Theorem 4.3 from the propositions which follow later in this section.

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Combining Propositions 4.6, 4.7, 4.8 and 4.10, we see that $\mathcal{C}_N^\rho = \mathcal{S}_N^\rho = \mathcal{T}_N^\rho$ for all $N \geq 0$. Thus $\mathcal{C}_\infty^\rho = \mathcal{S}_\infty^\rho = \mathcal{T}_\infty^\rho$ which is equivalent to Theorem 4.3. $\square$

4.1. Closure properties. We start with an easy proposition.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $B \rightarrow A$ be an N -equivalence. If $B \in \mathcal{S}_N^\rho$ or $\mathcal{T}_N^\rho$, then respectively so is A . If $A \in \mathcal{B}_N^\rho$, then so is B .*

Proof. The statement about $\mathcal{B}_N^\rho$ is true by definition. The statement about $\mathcal{S}_N^\rho$ follows from the fact that if we have a commutative diagram of chain complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z & \longrightarrow & W \end{array}$$

where all but the bottom horizontal map are N -equivalences, so is the bottom horizontal map. For the statement regarding $\mathcal{T}_N^\rho$, also use Lemma 2.19. $\square$

4.2. The case $N = 0$. Since our proof of Theorem 4.3 is an induction over N , we start with $N = 0$.

Proposition 4.6. *Any charged algebra is in $\mathcal{B}_0^\rho = \mathcal{T}_0^\rho = \mathcal{S}_0^\rho$.*

Proof. For any charged algebra A by hypothesis we have that $H_0(A) = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{N}_0^d]$, which is in $\mathcal{S}_0^\rho$. We claim it is in $\mathcal{B}_0^\rho$ as well. To see this, take any representative $a_i \in A(\mathbf{e}_i)$ of a generator of $H_0(A(\mathbf{e}_i)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Let B be the $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ on k generators in degree 0. This is in $\mathcal{C}_0^\rho$ by definition. The choice of $a_i \in A(\mathbf{e}_i)$ gives a map $B \rightarrow A$ which induces an isomorphism on H_0 (and hence a 0-equivalence). Thus, $A \in \mathcal{B}_0^\rho$.

By the proof of Proposition 4.8, $H_0(\int_M^{\mathbf{k}} B) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ for M connected. This shows that $B \in \mathcal{T}_0^\rho$. Since $B \rightarrow A$ is a 0-equivalence, Lemma 4.5 shows A is in $\mathcal{T}_0^\rho$ as well. $\square$

4.3. Stability for topological chiral homology implies stability. Another easy proposition says that homological stability of topological chiral homology on any open manifold implies it for $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Proposition 4.7. *For any $N \geq 0$ we have that $\mathcal{T}_N^\rho \subset \mathcal{S}_N^\rho$.*

Proof. We have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A \simeq A$ and $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a θ -framed connected non-compact manifold. $\square$

4.4. Levelwise bounded generation implies stability for topological chiral homology. Next we prove that bounded generation implies homological stability for topological chiral homology of A on any θ -framed connected non-compact n -dimensional manifold M .

Proposition 4.8. *For any N we have that $\mathcal{C}_N^\rho \subset \mathcal{T}_\infty^\rho$ and thus $\mathcal{C}_N^\rho \subset \mathcal{S}_\infty^\rho$.*

Proof. We prove this by induction on the number of $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell attachments done. In the initial case, consider the $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra

$$A = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{k}=(k_1, \dots, k_k)} C_* (\mathcal{E}_n^\theta(k_1 + \dots + k_k) / \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbf{k}})$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbf{k}} = \prod_i \mathfrak{S}_{k_i} \subset \mathfrak{S}_{\sum_i k_i}$. We need to establish homological stability for $\int_M^{\mathbf{k}} A$. Recall that $C_{\mathbf{k}}(M; \theta(TM))$ is the configuration space of distinct unordered colored particles in M with labels in the frame bundle $\theta(TM)$, with k_i particles of each color for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Observe that $\int_M A$ is equivalent to $\bigoplus_{\mathbf{k}} C_*(C_{\mathbf{k}}(M; \theta(TM)))$. These spaces have homological stability with function $\rho(x) = x/2$ by an easy generalization of Proposition B.4 of [CP14] and Corollary A.2 of the Appendix. We conclude that $A \in \mathcal{T}_\infty^\rho$.

For the induction step one uses Corollary 3.9. To apply it we need to remark that all $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cells of charge $\mathbf{k}$ are attached in degree $N \geq \rho(|\mathbf{k}|)$ which is greater than or equal to $\rho(k_i)$ for each i . $\square$

Proposition 4.9. *For any N we have that $\mathcal{B}_N^\rho \subset \mathcal{T}_N^\rho$ and thus $\mathcal{B}_N^\rho \subset \mathcal{S}_N^\rho$.*

Proof. By definition, $A \in \mathcal{B}_N^\rho$ implies the existence of a N -equivalence $B \rightarrow A$ such that $B \in \mathcal{C}_N^\rho$. But we just saw that $\mathcal{C}_N^\rho \subset \mathcal{T}_\infty^\rho$ and hence $B \in \mathcal{T}_N^\rho$. The conclusion $A \in \mathcal{T}_N^\rho$ now follows from Lemma 4.5. $\square$

4.5. Stability implies bounded generation. Finally we prove the hardest step in the induction, that homological stability in the range $* \leq N+1$ implies bounded generation in the range $* \leq N+1$ given that result for the range $* \leq N$. The idea is that in a stable category like chain complexes, you can modify the homology as desired by attaching cells.

Proposition 4.10. *If $\mathcal{S}_N^\rho = \mathcal{B}_N^\rho$, then $\mathcal{S}_{N+1}^\rho \subset \mathcal{B}_{N+1}^\rho$.*

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{S}_{N+1}^\rho$, then $A \in \mathcal{S}_N^\rho = \mathcal{B}_N^\rho$ and by definition there exists an N -equivalence $f : B \rightarrow A$ with $B \in \mathcal{C}_N^\rho$. Let $R_j = \rho^{-1}(j)$. We will modify B by $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell attachments of degree $N+1$ with charge $\mathbf{k}$ satisfying $|\mathbf{k}| \leq R_{N+1}$ to form a new algebra B' with a map A that is an $(N+1)$ -equivalence.

We will build B' by induction on total charge $c = |\mathbf{k}|$. We will define two sequences of algebras B_c^{surj} and B_c^{iso} with maps to A . Both classes of algebras will be in $\mathcal{C}_{N+1}^\rho$. The algebras B_c^{surj} will have the property that $B_c^{\text{surj}}(\mathbf{k}) \rightarrow A(\mathbf{k})$ is an $(N+1)$ -equivalence for $|\mathbf{k}| \leq c$ and an N -equivalence for $|\mathbf{k}| > c$. The algebras B_c^{iso} will have the property that $B_c^{\text{iso}}(\mathbf{k}) \rightarrow A(\mathbf{k})$ is an N -equivalence for all $\mathbf{k}$ and $H_N(B_c^{\text{iso}}(\mathbf{k})) \rightarrow H_N(A(\mathbf{k}))$ is an isomorphism for $|\mathbf{k}| \leq c$. The algebras B_c^{iso} correct the problem that the map $B \rightarrow A$ is not an isomorphism in degree N and total charge $|\mathbf{k}| = c$ and the algebras B_c^{surj} correct the problem that $B \rightarrow A$ is not a surjection in degree $N+1$ and total charge $|\mathbf{k}| = c$. Let $B_{-1}^{\text{surj}} = B$ and define B' to be $B_{R_{N+1}}^{\text{surj}}$. We will construct B_c^{iso} out of B_{c-1}^{surj} by attaching $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cells of degree $N+1$ and charge $\mathbf{k}$ with $|\mathbf{k}| = c$ and will construct B_c^{surj} out of B_c^{iso} by attaching $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cells of degree $N+1$ and charge $\mathbf{k}$ with $|\mathbf{k}| = c$ that have trivial attaching maps.

Step 1 – isomorphism: Assume we have defined the algebra B_{c-1}^{surj} and its map to A with the desired properties listed above. Consider the kernels of $H_N(B_{c-1}^{\text{surj}}(\mathbf{k})) \rightarrow H_N(A(\mathbf{k}))$ for each $\mathbf{k}$ satisfying $|\mathbf{k}| = c$. Take representatives $\{b_p\}_{p \in P_{\mathbf{k}}}$ with $b_p \in B_{c-1}^{\text{surj}}(\mathbf{k})$ for a generating set of these kernels. Then for each $\mathbf{k}$ we attach $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cells e_p^{N+1} to B_{c-1}^{surj} in charge $\mathbf{k}$ along b_p . We call the resulting algebra B_c^{iso} . Extend the map $B_{c-1}^{\text{surj}} \rightarrow A$ to a map

$$B_c^{\text{iso}} := B_{c-1}^{\text{surj}} \uplus \biguplus_{p \in P} e_p^{N+1} \rightarrow A$$

by sending e_p^{N+1} to 0 using Lemma 3.4. This is possible because the homology class of $d(e_p^{N+1}) = b_p$ is in the kernel of the map to $H_*(A(\mathbf{k}))$. Note that $B_c^{\text{iso}} \in \mathcal{C}_{N+1}^\rho$ and that $B_c^{\text{iso}} \rightarrow A$ induces an isomorphism on H_* for $* \leq N-1$ by Property (ii) of Corollary 3.8 and is an $(N+1)$ -equivalence for charges $\mathbf{k}$ with $|\mathbf{k}| \leq c-1$ by Property (i) of Corollary 3.8.

We also claim it induces an isomorphism on H_N for charges $\mathbf{k}$ with $|\mathbf{k}| = c$. This will be a consequence of Property (iii) of Corollary 3.8. It implies that there is an exact sequence

$$H_{N+1}(B_{c-1}^{\text{surj}}(\mathbf{k})) \rightarrow H_{N+1}(B_c^{\text{iso}}(\mathbf{k})) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p \in P_{\mathbf{k}'}} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H_N(B_{c-1}^{\text{surj}}(\mathbf{k})) \rightarrow H_N(B_c^{\text{iso}}(\mathbf{k})) \rightarrow 0$$

where $\mathbf{k}'$ ranges over all $\mathbf{k}'$ such that $\mathbf{k}' \leq \mathbf{k}$ and the generator of the $\mathbb{Z}$ -summand corresponding to $p \in P_{\mathbf{k}'}$ goes to $t_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'}(b_p)$. We conclude that $H_N(B_c^{\text{iso}}(\mathbf{k})) = H_N(B_{c-1}^{\text{surj}}(c))/\text{im}(\bigoplus_{p \in P_{\mathbf{k}'}} \mathbb{Z})$ and note that this image contains $H_N(B_{c-1}^{\text{surj}}(c)) \rightarrow H_N(A(c))$. Furthermore, by construction the surjective map $H_N(B_{c-1}^{\text{surj}}(\mathbf{k})) \rightarrow H_N(A(\mathbf{k}))$ factors over $H_N(B_c^{\text{iso}}(\mathbf{k}))$. Hence the map $H_N(B_c^{\text{iso}}(\mathbf{k})) \rightarrow H_N(A(\mathbf{k}))$ is a surjection with trivial kernel and hence an isomorphism.

Step 2 – surjection: Assume we have defined the algebra B_c^{iso} and its map to A with the desired properties listed above. Consider the cokernels of $H_{N+1}(B_c^{\text{iso}}(\mathbf{k})) \rightarrow H_{N+1}(A(\mathbf{k}))$ for each $\mathbf{k}$ satisfying $|\mathbf{k}| = c$. Take representatives $\{a_q\}_{q \in Q_{\mathbf{k}}}$ with $a_q \in A(\mathbf{k})$ for a generating set of these cokernels. Then for each $\mathbf{k}$ we attach $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cells e_q^{N+1} to B_c^{iso} in charge $\mathbf{k}$ with trivial attaching map (that is, setting $d(e_q^{N+1}) = 0$). We call the resulting algebra B_c^{surj} and using Lemma 3.4 extend the map $B_c^{\text{iso}} \rightarrow A$ to a map

$$B_c^{\text{surj}} := B_c^{\text{iso}} \uplus \biguplus_{q \in Q} e_q^{N+1} \rightarrow A$$

by sending e_q^{N+1} to a_q . This is possible since $d(a_q) = 0$ since a_q is a cycle. Note that $B_c^{\text{surj}} \in \mathcal{C}_{N+1}^\rho$ and that $B_c^{\text{surj}} \rightarrow A$ induces an isomorphism on H_* for $* \leq N$ by properties (ii) and (iii) of Corollary 3.8 and a surjection on H_{N+1} for charges $\mathbf{k}$ with $|\mathbf{k}| \leq c-1$ by Property (i) of Corollary 3.8.

We also claim it induces a surjection on H_{N+1} for charges $\mathbf{k}$ with $|\mathbf{k}| = c$. This is a consequence of Property (iv) of Corollary 3.8, since in this case we get an exact sequence

$$H_{N+1}(B_c^{\text{iso}}(\mathbf{k})) \rightarrow H_{N+1}(B_c^{\text{surj}}(\mathbf{k})) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{q \in Q_{\mathbf{k}'}} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

where $\mathbf{k}'$ ranges over all $\mathbf{k}'$ such that $\mathbf{k}' \leq \mathbf{k}$. The first map is split, which implies we have an isomorphism $H_{N+1}(B_c^{\text{surj}}(\mathbf{k})) \cong H_{N+1}(B_c^{\text{iso}}(\mathbf{k})) \oplus \bigoplus_{q \in Q_{\mathbf{k}'}} \mathbb{Z}$. By construction the map $H_{N+1}(B_c^{\text{surj}}(\mathbf{k})) \rightarrow H_{N+1}(A(\mathbf{k}))$ extends the map $H_{N+1}(B_c^{\text{iso}}(\mathbf{k})) \rightarrow H_{N+1}(A(\mathbf{k}))$ by sending the generator of the $\mathbb{Z}$ -summand corresponding to $q \in Q_{\mathbf{k}'}$ to $a_q \in H_{N+1}(A(\mathbf{k}))$. This makes the map surjective since we hit all generators of the cokernel.

Step 3 – homological stability: The result of the previous two steps is an algebra $B' \in \mathcal{C}_{N+1}^\rho$ with a map $B' \rightarrow A$ such that the map is an $(N+1)$ -equivalence for charges $\mathbf{r}$ satisfying $|\mathbf{r}| \leq R_{N+1}$. We want to prove it is an $(N+1)$ -equivalence for all charges. By definition, A has homological stability range ρ and by Proposition 4.8, so does B' . Let $\mathbf{r}$ satisfy $|\mathbf{r}| = R_{N+1}$, $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ and consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B'(\mathbf{r}) & \xrightarrow{t_{\mathbf{j}}} & B'(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{j}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A(\mathbf{r}) & \xrightarrow{t_{\mathbf{j}}} & A(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{j}) \end{array}$$

The two stabilization maps (the horizontal maps) are $(N+1)$ -equivalences by homological stability. The leftmost vertical map is an $(N+1)$ -equivalence by the argument above. Therefore,

the rightmost vertical map is an $(N + 1)$ -equivalence as well. This shows that $B' \rightarrow A$ is an $(N + 1)$ -equivalence and so $A \in \mathcal{B}_{N+1}^\theta$. $\square$

Remark 4.11. The technique used in the proof of Proposition 4.10 can be used to show that any charged algebra can be obtained by iterated $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell attachments up to quasi-isomorphism.

5. EXTENDING THE MAIN THEOREM TO COMPACT MANIFOLDS

In this section we prove that, appropriately stated, the main theorem extends to θ -framed connected compact manifolds. By B^n , we mean May's n -fold bar construction from [May72]. Its definition uses the fact that Σ^n is a right $\mathbf{E}_n^\theta$ -functor which follows from the fact that Σ^n is a right $\Omega^n \Sigma^n$ -functor and there is a map of monads $\mathbf{E}_n^\theta \rightarrow \Omega^n \Sigma^n$.

Definition 5.1. For an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra X in $\mathbf{Top}$, define $B^n X$ to be $\|B_\bullet(\Sigma^n, \mathbf{E}_n^\theta, A)\|$.

We now restrict to $\mathbf{Top}$ and $C = \mathbb{N}_0^d$. Every $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra X in $\mathbf{Top}$ has a map $g : X \rightarrow \Omega^n B^n X$ of $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras to its group-completion $\Omega^n B^n X$. When X has homological stability, the map g is a homology equivalence in a range by the group-completion theorem [MS76]. Naturality of topological chiral homology gives us a map $\int g$, which fits into a natural diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \int_M^{\mathbf{k}} X & \xrightarrow{s} & \Gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^c(M, B^{TM} X) \\ & \searrow \int g & \nearrow \simeq \\ & \int_M^{\mathbf{k}} \Omega^n B^n X & \end{array}$$

with s called the *scanning map*. The weak equivalence $\int_M^{\mathbf{k}} \Omega^n B^n X \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^c(M, B^{TM} X)$ is known as *non-abelian Poincaré duality* [Sal01] [Lur11] [AF14]. Here $\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^c$ denotes the space of compactly supported sections of degree $\mathbf{k}$ and $B^{TM} X$ is a bundle over M with fiber $B^n X$ appropriately twisted by the tangent bundle of M .

If the spaces $\int_M^{\mathbf{k}} X$ have homological stability with range ρ and M is θ -framed, non-compact and connected, then it follows from [Mil13] that $s : \int_M^{\mathbf{k}} X \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^c(M, B^{TM} X)$ is a $\rho(\min\{k_i\})$ -equivalence. Hence $\int g$ is a homology equivalence in the same range. We will draw two conclusions from this. The first concerns the stable homology of $\int_M^{\mathbf{k}} X$. If M is non-compact, all components of $\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^c(M, B^{TM} X)$ are homotopy equivalent, and thus we can conclude the following:

Proposition 5.2. *When an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra X has homological stability and M is θ -framed, connected and non-compact, the stable homology of $\int_M^{\mathbf{k}} X$ is given by $H_*(\Gamma_{\mathbf{j}}^c(M, B^{TM} X))$ for any $\mathbf{j}$.*

The second says that not only is s a homology equivalence in the range $\rho(\min\{k_i\})$ for non-compact M , but this is also the case for compact M . In the compact case, the spaces $\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^c(M, B^{TM} X)$ need not have the same homotopy type as $\mathbf{k}$ varies and thus the $\int_M^{\mathbf{k}} X$ need not exhibit homological stability. The proof uses a resolution by punctures argument which was introduced in [RW13] (Proposition 9.4) and also used in [KMT14] (Theorem 5.9).

Theorem 5.3. *Let $n \geq 2$. For any θ -framed connected manifold M and an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra X that has homological stability with range ρ , the map $s : \int_M^{\mathbf{k}} X \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^c(M, \Omega^n B^n X)$ is a $\rho(\min\{k_i\})$ -equivalence.*

Proof. First of all we replace s by $\int g$. Let $\text{Emb}_\infty^\theta(\sqcup_k \mathbb{R}^n, M)$ be the subspace $\text{Emb}^\theta(\sqcup_k \mathbb{R}^n, M)$ of embeddings such that the complement of the closure of the image has infinite cardinality. We use it to modify Definition 2.15 and Definition 2.17: the functor $\mathbf{M}_\infty^\theta$ given by $X \mapsto \bigsqcup_{k \geq 0} \text{Emb}_\infty^\theta(\mathbb{R}^n, M)$ is a right functor over $\mathbf{E}_n^\theta$, so we can define

$$\int_{M, \infty} X = \|B_\bullet(\mathbf{M}_\infty^\theta, \mathbf{E}_n^\theta, X)\|$$

The inclusion $\text{Emb}_\infty^\theta(\sqcup_k \mathbb{R}^n, M) \hookrightarrow \text{Emb}^\theta(\sqcup_k \mathbb{R}^n, M)$ is a weak equivalence, so the inclusion $\int_{M,\infty} X \rightarrow \int_M X$ is a weak equivalence as well.

We define a semisimplicial space with q -simplices given by pairs $(\phi, (m_0, \dots, m_q))$ of distinct ordered points m_i in M and a θ -framed embedding $\phi : \sqcup_k \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow M \setminus \{m_0, \dots, m_q\}$. We put the usual topology on the embeddings but put the *discrete* topology on the points in M . The face map d_i forgets the point m_i . It has an augmentation to $\text{Emb}_\infty^\theta(\sqcup_k \mathbb{R}^n, M)$ by forgetting all the m_i . As before it defines semisimplicial left functor $\mathbf{M}_{\bullet,\infty}^\theta$ and an augmented semisimplicial simplicial space $I_{\bullet,\bullet}(M, X)$ given by $[p, q] \mapsto \mathbf{M}_{q,\infty}^\theta(\mathbf{E}_n^\theta)^p X$. This satisfies

$$\|p \mapsto I_{p,q}(M, X)\| \simeq \begin{cases} \int_{M,\infty} X & \text{if } q = -1 \\ \sqcup_{(m_0, \dots, m_q)} \int_{M \setminus \{m_0, \dots, m_q\}, \infty} X & \text{if } q \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

Realizing in the other direction, we claim that the augmentation $\epsilon_p : \|q \mapsto \mathbf{M}_{q,\infty}^\theta(\mathbf{E}_n^\theta)^p X\| \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_\infty^\theta(\mathbf{E}_n^\theta)^p X$ is a weak equivalence. The reason is as follows: the complement of the closure of the images of the outermost embeddings in $\int_{M,\infty}(X)$ has infinitely many points (this was the point of using Emb_∞), so that the point inverses of ϵ_p are contractible by the argument on Page 17 of [RW13] or Lemma 5.7 of [KMT14]. Since every point has a neighborhood not intersecting the image of a disk (this was the point of using closures in our definition of Emb_∞^θ), a standard argument like Proposition 5.8 of [KMT14] proves that ϵ_p is a microfibration as in Section 2 of [Wei05]. By Lemma 2.2 of [Wei05], the map ϵ_p is a fibration and hence a weak equivalence since it has contractible fibers. Thus $\|I_{\bullet,\bullet}(M, X)\| \rightarrow \int_{M,\infty} X$ is a weak equivalence.

Our construction gives a map

$$(f g)_{\bullet,\bullet} : I_{\bullet,\bullet}(M, X) \rightarrow I_{\bullet,\bullet}(M, \Omega^n B^n X)$$

After realizing in the p -direction, this is given by $f g$ on the augmentation and each of the simplicial levels. Restricting to charge $\mathbf{k}$ and using that the augmentation maps are weak equivalences, we get a relative geometric realization spectral sequence for the p -direction:

$$E_{pq}^1 = \bigoplus_{\{m_0, \dots, m_p\}} H_q \left(\int_{M \setminus \{m_0, \dots, m_p\}}^{\mathbf{k}} \Omega^n B^n X, \int_{M \setminus \{m_0, \dots, m_p\}}^{\mathbf{k}} X \right) \Rightarrow H_{p+q} \left(\int_M^{\mathbf{k}} \Omega^n B^n X, \int_M^{\mathbf{k}} X \right)$$

The result of [Mil13] says that for each of the summands, the map $(f g)_p$ is a $\rho(\min\{k_i\})$ -equivalence on the $\mathbf{k}$ -component (this uses $n \geq 2$ to guarantee that M remains connected after removing points). This means that the E^1 -page vanishes for $q \leq \rho(\min\{k_i\})$ and hence so does the E^∞ -page. This proves the result. $\square$

Thus the local-to-global principle can be rephrased as saying that the group-completion map induces a homology equivalence in a range tending to infinity if and only if the scanning map for topological chiral homology does.

6. APPLICATIONS OF THE MAIN THEOREM

In this section we discuss examples of applications of Theorem 1.2. In the first subsection, we discuss applications of the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) and in the second subsection we discuss applications of the implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii).

We will consider many examples of labeled configuration spaces in this section and Section 7. All of these examples are homeomorphic to the configuration spaces $C(M; A)$ considered in [Sal01] for some choice of partial algebra A . The techniques of Section 3.3 of [Mil12] show that $\int_M C(\mathbb{R}^n; A) \simeq C(M; A)$ and so we can use topological chiral homology to study these spaces.

6.1. Applications of the local-to-global homological stability principle. In this subsection, we apply the local-to-global homological stability principle to give new proofs of homological stability for bounded symmetric powers and the divisor spaces which appear in Segal's theory of rational functions. Recall that we already discussed symmetric powers in Example 1.5.

6.1.1. *Bounded symmetric powers.* We start by defining bounded symmetric powers.

Definition 6.1. Let $\text{Sym}_k^{\leq d}(M)$ denote the subspace of $\text{Sym}_k(M)$ where at most d particles occupy the same point in M and let $\text{Sym}^{\leq d}(M) = \bigsqcup_k \text{Sym}_k^{\leq d}(M)$.

The spaces $\text{Sym}^{\leq d}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ can be identified with the space of monic polynomials with roots of multiplicity of order at most d . They have applications to the study of J -holomorphic curves [Mil12] and were also studied in [VW12] in relation to a motivic analogue of homological stability. If $M = \mathbb{R}^n$, the functoriality of $\text{Sym}^{\leq d}$ with respect to embeddings makes $\text{Sym}^{\leq d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra for all θ , but we will only use the $\mathcal{E}_n^{\text{SO}}$ -algebra structure.

The homology of $\text{Sym}_k^{\leq d}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with field coefficients has been computed by relating the spaces $\text{Sym}_k^{\leq d}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to the filtration subquotients D_k of the May-Milgram model of $\Omega^2 S^3$. These are defined via the formula $D_k = \tilde{C}_k(\mathbb{R}^2)_+ \wedge_{\mathfrak{S}_k} S^k$, where $\tilde{C}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the ordered configuration space $\{(x_1, \dots, x_k) | x_i \neq x_j \text{ if } i \neq j\}$. Though homology of D_k with field coefficients is known, we will only need that it is connected. In [Vas92], it was shown that the stabilization map $t : \text{Sym}_k^{\leq d}(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \text{Sym}_{k+1}^{\leq d}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a homotopy equivalence unless $k+1$ is divisible by $d+1$. The following theorem can be proven by combining the results of [CCMM91] and [Vas92], and was also proven directly in [GKY98].

Theorem 6.2. *There is a homotopy commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sym}_{i(d+1)-1}^{\leq d}(\mathbb{R}^2) & \xrightarrow{\simeq_s} & \bigvee_{j=0}^{i-1} \Sigma^{2(d-1)j} D_j \\ \downarrow t & & \downarrow \iota \\ \text{Sym}_{i(d+1)}^{\leq d}(\mathbb{R}^2) & \xrightarrow{\simeq_s} & \bigvee_{j=0}^i \Sigma^{2(d-1)j} D_j \end{array}$$

where ι is the inclusion of summands and $\simeq_s$ denotes stable homotopy equivalence.

Since the spaces D_j are connected, we get the following proposition.

Proposition 6.3. *For $d \geq 2$, the stabilization map $t : \text{Sym}_k^{\leq d}(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \text{Sym}_{k+1}^{\leq d}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ induces an isomorphism on homology groups in the range $* \leq \frac{2(k+1)(d-1)}{d+1}$. In particular, the stabilization map induces an isomorphism on H_* for $* \leq k/2$.*

Note that the range of $* \leq k/2$ is also true for case $d = 1$, i.e. configuration spaces, but that is proven using different methods. Applying the local-to-global-homological stability principle, Proposition 6.3 and the fact that the stabilization map is always injective by Lemma A of [Dol62], we get the following corollary.

Corollary 6.4. *For Σ an orientable connected non-compact surface, $t : \text{Sym}_k^{\leq d}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{Sym}_{k+1}^{\leq d}(\Sigma)$ induces an isomorphism on H_* for $* \leq k/2$.*

This greatly improves on the range of $* \leq \frac{k}{d+1} - d + 3$ established in [Yam03] and agrees with the range recently proved in [KMT14].

6.1.2. *Divisor spaces associated to projective spaces.* We now turn to the example of the divisor spaces appearing in the study of holomorphic maps from a curve to complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^d$. Using the local-to-global homological stability principle and the results of [CCMM91], we will give a new proof of homological stability for divisor spaces. View $(\text{Sym}(M))^{d+1}$ as a configuration space of particles labeled by particles with $d+1$ different colors.

Definition 6.5. Let $\text{Div}^d(M)$ denote the subspace of $(\text{Sym}(M))^{d+1}$ where any given point in M is occupied by particles of at most d different colors.

The functoriality of Div^d with respect to embeddings makes $\text{Div}^d(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra. Note that $\pi_0(\text{Div}^d(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is $\mathbb{N}_0^{d+1}$. For $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_{d+1})$, we define $\text{Div}_{\mathbf{k}}^d(M)$ to be the subspace of $\text{Div}^d(M)$ where there are exactly k_i particles of color i .

The space $\text{Div}^d(\mathbb{R}^2)$ can be thought of as the space of $d+1$ monic polynomials with no common root. Let $\delta(k)$ denote $(k, k, \dots, k) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{d+1}$. In [Seg79], Segal noted that $\text{Div}_{\delta(k)}^d(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is homeomorphic to $\text{Hol}_k^*(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathbb{CP}^d)$, the space of based holomorphic degree k maps from $\mathbb{CP}^1$ to $\mathbb{CP}^d$. There is a map $\pi : \text{Div}_{\delta(k)}^d(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow (J_g)^d$ with Σ_g a curve of genus g and J_g its Jacobian. The fiber of π at 0 is homeomorphic to $\text{Hol}_k^*(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{CP}^d)$ and the map π is a homology fibration in a range [Seg79]. There are d different stabilization maps, with

$$t_i : \text{Div}_{\mathbf{k}}^d(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \text{Div}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{e}_i}^d(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

the basic stabilization map that adds a point of the i th color. Note that on Page 46 of [Seg79], it is shown that if $k_i \geq k_j$ for all j then t_i is a homotopy equivalence. Thus, the relevant map to consider is $t = t_1 \circ t_2 \circ \dots \circ t_d : \text{Div}_{\delta(k)}^d(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \text{Div}_{\delta(k+1)}^d(\mathbb{R}^2)$; that is, we can restrict to the diagonal. In [CCMM91], Cohen, Cohen, Mann and Milgram proved the following.

Theorem 6.6. *There is a homotopy commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Div}_{\delta(k)}^d(\mathbb{R}^2) & \xrightarrow{\simeq_s} & \bigvee_{j=0}^k \Sigma^{2(d-1)j} D_j \\ \downarrow t & & \downarrow \iota \\ \text{Div}_{\delta(k+1)}^d(\mathbb{R}^2) & \xrightarrow{\simeq_s} & \bigvee_{j=0}^{k+1} \Sigma^{2(d-1)j} D_j \end{array}$$

where ι is the inclusion of summands and $\simeq_s$ denotes stable homotopy equivalence.

As in the case of bounded symmetric powers, the connectivity of the spaces D_j imply that the spaces $\text{Div}_{\delta(k)}^d(\mathbb{R}^2)$ exhibit homological stability. Applying the local-to-global homological stability principle, we get the following.

Proposition 6.7. *For Σ an orientable connected non-compact surface, $t : \text{Div}_{\delta(k)}^d(\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{Div}_{\delta(k+1)}^d(\Sigma)$ induces an isomorphism on H_* for $* \leq k/2$.*

Homological stability for the spaces $\text{Div}_{\delta(k)}^d(\Sigma)$ was originally proven in [Seg79]. Note that although Segal's range has a higher slope, our range has a higher constant term.

6.1.3. Divisor spaces associated to toric varieties. Let T be a toric variety with $H_2(T)$ torsion free. In [Gue95], Guest introduced a configuration space $\text{Div}^T(M)$ such that $\text{Hol}^*(\mathbb{CP}^1, T)$ is a collection of components of $\text{Div}^T(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Guest proved homological stability for the components of the spaces $\text{Div}^T(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Using the local-to-global homological stability theorem, we can conclude that the components of $\text{Div}^T(\Sigma)$ have homological stability for Σ a non-compact connected orientable surface. As far as we know, this is a new result. This is the first step towards generalizing Guest's theorem on the topology of holomorphic maps to a toric variety to the case of maps out of a higher genus surface.

6.1.4. A mysterious example. In the introduction we mentioned other examples of $\mathcal{E}_n^\partial$ -algebras where homological stability is known. Often there is no geometric interpretation of their topological chiral homology. One intriguing case is the following: if $\Sigma_{g,1}$ denotes a genus g surface with one boundary component and $\text{Diff}^\partial(-)$ the topological group of diffeomorphisms fixing the boundary topologized with the C^∞ -topology, then

$$\bigsqcup_{g \geq 0} B\text{Diff}^\partial(\Sigma_{g,1})$$

is naturally an $\mathcal{E}_2^{\text{SO}}$ -algebra. Since not all Browder operations vanish (Theorem 2.5 of [FS97]), this action does not extend to an action of the $\mathcal{E}_3^{\text{SO}}$ -operad. Homological stability for this $\mathcal{E}_2^{\text{SO}}$ -algebra was proven in [Har85] (see also [Wah13]). Thus, the spaces

$$\int_{\Sigma}^k \left(\bigsqcup_{g \geq 0} B\text{Diff}^\partial(\Sigma_{g,1}) \right)$$

have homological stability for Σ any orientable connected non-compact surface. We know of no geometric interpretation of this result. Using [GRW12], one can construct similar higher-dimensional examples.

6.2. Homological stability via $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell decompositions. Sometimes it is possible to identify $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell decompositions (or at least bound where $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cells are attached) and then use Theorem 1.2 to deduce homological stability. In this subsection we will apply this idea to $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras built out of completions of partial $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras under certain assumptions about the partial monoid of connected components.

6.2.1. Completing partial monoids. Any partial abelian monoid can be completed to an abelian monoid $c(C)$. Abstractly this is the left adjoint to the inclusion of abelian monoids into partial abelian monoids, and concretely it is given by setting $c(C)$ to be given by formal finite sums $\mathbf{c}_1 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \mathbf{c}_k$ of elements of C under the equivalence relation generated by the fact that $\boxplus$ is commutative and $\mathbf{c}_1 \boxplus \mathbf{c}_2 \sim \mathbf{c}_1 + \mathbf{c}_2$ if $\mathbf{c}_1 + \mathbf{c}_2$ is defined. In all cases we consider, $c(C) = \mathbb{N}_0^d$. To distinguish between the monad $\mathbf{E}_n^\theta$ in the category of C -charged chain complexes and $c(C)$ -charged chain complexes, we denote the former by $\mathbf{E}_n^{\theta,C}$ and the latter by $\mathbf{E}_n^\theta$.

If $C \rightarrow D$ is a map of partial monoids, we get an induced functor from the category of C -charged algebras to the category of partial D -charged algebras. Thus, any C -charged algebra A can be viewed as partial $c(C)$ -charged algebra and then completed to form an actual $c(C)$ -charged algebra. To describe this completion procedure concretely, we define a partial $c(C)$ -charged algebra structure on a C -charged algebra A by setting

$$A_p = B_p(\mathbf{E}_n^\theta \circ \iota, \mathbf{E}_n^{\theta,C}, A)$$

where ι is the inclusion of Ch_C into $\text{Ch}_{c(C)}$.

Definition 6.8. Let A be a C -charged algebra, then the $c(C)$ -completion $\bar{A}$ is defined to be the realization $||A_\bullet||$. This is a $c(C)$ -charged algebra.

Suppose A is a C -charged algebra and we have an element $b_{N-1} \in A(k)_{N-1}$ for $k \leq d$, then there are two things we can do. First, we could define $A \uplus e_N$ in C -charged algebras, view it as a partial $c(C)$ -charged algebra and complete it to a $c(C)$ -charged algebra $\bar{A} \uplus e_N$. Alternatively, we could view A as a partial $c(C)$ -charged algebra and complete it to a $c(C)$ -charged algebra $\bar{A}$ and then attach an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell to the image of $\text{id} \otimes b_{N-1}$ in simplicial degree 0 to get a $c(C)$ -charged algebra $\bar{A} \uplus e_N$. A formal argument should tell us that $\bar{A} \uplus e_N$ and $\bar{A} \uplus e_N$ are the equivalent (they are the values of two functors that are derived left adjoint to the same functor). Since we have not set up the categorical framework needed to make this precise, we instead give a concrete simplicial argument.

Proposition 6.9. *The $c(C)$ -charged algebras $\bar{A} \uplus e_N$ and $\overline{\bar{A} \uplus e_N}$ are weakly equivalent.*

Proof. We compare both to $\overline{\bar{A} \oplus e_N}$, the realization of a simplicial object $P_\bullet$ which we will define shortly. To do this, we write $\bar{A} \uplus e_N$ and $\overline{\bar{A} \uplus e_N}$ as realizations of bisimplicial objects, and show they have levelwise augmentations to $P_\bullet$.

We define $P_\bullet$ to be the subspace

$$P_p \subset B_p(\mathbf{E}_n^\theta \circ \iota, \mathbf{E}_n^{\theta,C}, A \oplus e_N)$$

spanned by all elements such that in the interior p copies of $\mathbf{E}_n^{\theta,C}$'s only identities are applied to e_N .

The algebra $\bar{A} \uplus e_N$ is the realization of the bisimplicial object $P_{\bullet\bullet}^1$ given by

$$P_{pq}^1 \subset B_p(\mathbf{E}_n^\theta, \mathbf{E}_n^\theta, B_q(\mathbf{E}_n^\theta \circ \iota, \mathbf{E}_n^{\theta,C}, A) \oplus_{\text{id}^{q+1} \otimes b_{N-1}} \text{id}^{q+1} \otimes e_N)$$

spanned by all elements such that in the interior p $\mathbf{E}_n^\theta$'s only identities are applied to $\text{id}^{q+1} \otimes e_N$.

There is an augmentation map

$$\mathbf{E}_n^\theta(B_q(\mathbf{E}_n^\theta \circ \iota, \mathbf{E}_n^{\theta,C}, A) \oplus_{\text{id}^{q+1} \otimes b_{N-1}} \text{id}^{q+1} \otimes e_N) \supset P_{0q}^1 \rightarrow P_q \subset B_q(\mathbf{E}_n^\theta \circ \iota, \mathbf{E}_n^{\theta,C}, A \oplus e_N)$$

given by composing the copy of $\mathbf{E}_n^\theta$ of the q -direction into the remaining (outer) copy of $\mathbf{E}_n^\theta$ of the p -direction. We thus get an augmented simplicial object $P_{\bullet,q}^1$ by setting $P_{-1,q}^1 = P_q$. This has an extra degeneracy coming from the unit map $1 \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_n^\theta$ in the copy of $\mathbf{E}_n^\theta$ in the q -direction, thus the

augmentation induces a weak equivalence $||P_{\bullet,q}^1|| \rightarrow P_q$. Since levelwise weak equivalences realize to weak equivalence, we conclude that $||P_{\bullet,\bullet}^1|| \rightarrow ||P_{\bullet,\bullet}||$ is a weak equivalence.

The algebra $\overline{A \oplus e_N}$ is the realization of the bisimplicial object

$$P_{pq}^2 \subset B_p(\mathbf{E}_n^\theta \circ \iota, \mathbf{E}_n^{\theta,C}, B_q(\mathbf{E}_n^{\theta,C}, \mathbf{E}_n^{\theta,C}, A \oplus e_N))$$

spanned by all elements that in the inner q copies of $\mathbf{E}_n^{\theta,C}$ in the q -direction only apply identities to e_N . There is an augmentation map

$$\mathbf{E}_n^\theta \circ \iota(B_q(\mathbf{E}_n^{\theta,C}, \mathbf{E}_n^{\theta,C}, A \oplus e_N)) \supset P_{0q}^2 \rightarrow P_q \subset B_q(\mathbf{E}_n^\theta \circ \iota, \mathbf{E}_n^{\theta,C}, A \oplus e_N)$$

given by composing the left-most copy of $\mathbf{E}_n^{\theta,C}$ of the q -direction into the remaining copy of $\mathbf{E}_n^\theta$ from the p -direction. A similar argument as before shows that this augmentation induces a weak equivalence upon realization. $\square$

Using this proposition, we prove homological stability for $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras formed by completing certain partial algebras. Let $[d] = \{0, 1, \dots, d\} \subset \mathbb{N}_0$ viewed as a partial monoid with addition. Completions of partial algebras with monoid of components $[d]$ were studied before in [KM13].

Proposition 6.10. *Let $\rho : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a strictly increasing function satisfying $\rho(a+b) \leq \rho(a) + \rho(b)$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, $\rho(s) \leq s/2$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $\rho^{-1}(\mathbb{N}_0) \subset \mathbb{N}_0$. Let A be a $[d]$ -charged algebra with $t : A_k \rightarrow A_{k+1}$ a $\rho(k)$ -equivalence for $k < d$ and let $\bar{A}$ denote its completion as a $\mathbb{N}_0$ -charged algebra. For any oriented connect non-compact n -dimensional manifold A . We have that*

$$t : \int_M^k \bar{A} \rightarrow \int_M^{k+1} \bar{A}$$

is a $\rho(k)$ -equivalence.

Proof. Mimicking the proof of Proposition 4.10 and stopping after attaching $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cells in charge d , we can construct a cellular $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra B and a map $B \rightarrow \bar{A}$ such that $B_k \rightarrow \bar{A}_k$ is an equivalence for all $k \leq d$ and all $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cells of B are attached above ρ and in charge $\leq d$. By the implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) of Theorem 4.3, $t : \int_M^k B \rightarrow \int_M^{k+1} B$ is a $\rho(k)$ -equivalence. Let $B^{\leq d}$ denote the partial $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra formed by only considering components of charge $\leq d$. By an iterated application of Proposition 6.9, we have that $\overline{B^{\leq d}} \simeq B$. The map $B^{\leq d} \rightarrow A$ is an equivalence. Thus, $\overline{B^{\leq d}} \rightarrow B \rightarrow \bar{A}$ is an equivalence. By Theorem 4.3 we have that $t : \int_M^k \bar{A} \rightarrow \int_M^{k+1} \bar{A}$ is a $\rho(k)$ -equivalence. $\square$

This proposition has implications for completions (in the category of $\mathbb{N}_0$ -charged algebras) of $[d]$ -charged algebras without making any assumptions on their homology. Such a result was proven in Theorem 1.1 of [KM13] but with a worse range. The techniques of [KM13] are completely different than those used here and follow the traditional approach to proving homological stability introduced by Quillen.

Corollary 6.11. *Let A be a $[d]$ -charged algebra, $\bar{A}$ the completion in the category of $\mathbb{N}_0$ -charged algebras and M a θ -framed connected non-compact n -dimensional manifold, then we have that*

$$t : \int_M^k \bar{A} \rightarrow \int_M^{k+1} \bar{A}$$

is a $\rho(k)$ -equivalence for $\rho(k) = \min(k/2, k/d)$.

Proof. We note that for any $[d]$ -charged algebra A , $t : A_k \rightarrow A_{k+1}$ is a $\rho(k)$ -equivalence for $k < d$. $\square$

In [KM13], it was remarked that the range established in Corollary 6.11 is optimal.

6.2.2. Bounded symmetric powers revisited. We can apply Proposition 6.10 to the case of bounded symmetric powers.

Corollary 6.12. *Let M be a θ -framed connected non-compact manifold of dimension at least 2. The stabilization map $t : \mathrm{Sym}_k^{\leq d}(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}_{k+1}^{\leq d}(M)$ induces an isomorphism on H_* for $* \leq k/2$.*

Proof. For $k \leq d$, $\mathrm{Sym}_k^{\leq d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is contractible, so Proposition 6.10 applies with $\rho(k) = k/2$. $\square$

This result greatly improves on the range of $* \leq \frac{k}{2d}$ established in [KM13] which was the only integral homological stability range known for bounded symmetric powers in high dimensions. We note that the arguments of [Yam03] and Section 6.1.1 all use that M is two-dimensional in a crucial way (either using that $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbb{C})$ is a manifold or that $\Omega^2 S^3 \times \mathbb{Z} \simeq \Omega^2 S^2$) and thus those techniques cannot be used to achieve this range in higher dimensions. A rational range with slope 1 was proven in [KMT14] using the fact that $\mathrm{Sym}(M)$ is an orbifold. Using the techniques of this paper, we can reprove this rational range and show that it also holds with $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ -coefficients.

Using Theorem 5.3, we also conclude that $s : \mathrm{Sym}_k^{\leq d}(M) \rightarrow \Gamma_k^c(B^{TM} \mathrm{Sym}_k^{\leq d}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ induces an isomorphism on homology in the range $* \leq k/2$ for M not necessarily non-compact. This improves on the best previously known range, a range of $* \leq \frac{k-d}{2d}$ established in [KM13].

6.2.3. Divisor spaces revisited. Using ideas similar to those in Section 6.2.2, one can prove homological stability for the divisor spaces of [Seg79] [Gue95] and the spaces of coprime polynomials considered in [GKY94], as well as higher dimensional versions of these spaces. For simplicity of notation, we restrict our attention to the case relevant to holomorphic maps to $\mathbb{CP}^1$, the case of $\mathrm{Div}^1(M)$.

Definition 6.13. Let A and B be $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras in $\mathbf{Top}$. Let $A \vee B$ be the partial $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra with underlying space $A \vee B$ and composition only defined if all elements are either in A or all in B .

This construction is relevant as $\mathrm{Div}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \simeq \overline{\mathbb{N}_0 \vee \mathbb{N}_0}$. Proposition 6.9 in this case says the following.

Proposition 6.14. *Let A and B be charged algebras with choice of $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell structures. There is an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell structure on $\overline{A \vee B}$ with the following properties:*

- (i) *There are no $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cells attached in charge (k, j) unless either k or j is equal to zero.*
- (ii) *There is a bijection between the $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cells of homological degree i of charge k of A with the $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cells of homological degree i of charge $(k, 0)$ of $\overline{A \vee B}$.*
- (iii) *There is a bijection between the $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cells of homological degree i of charge k of B with the $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cells of homological degree i of charge $(0, k)$ of $\overline{A \vee B}$.*

We now prove homological stability for $\mathrm{Div}^1(M)$.

Corollary 6.15. *Let M be a θ -framed connected non-compact manifold of dimension at least 2. The stabilization map $t : \mathrm{Div}_{(k,j)}^1(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Div}_{(k+1,j+1)}^1(M)$ induces an isomorphism on H_* for $* \leq \min(k/2, j/2)$.*

Proof. Since the components of $\mathbb{N}_0$ have homological stability, by the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) of Theorem 4.3, we conclude that $C_*(\mathbb{N}_0)$ has an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell decomposition with cells of charge k having homological degree at least $k/2$. Using Proposition 6.14, we conclude that $C_*(\overline{\mathbb{N}_0 \vee \mathbb{N}_0})$ has an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell decomposition with cells of charge (k, j) having homological degree at least $\max(k/2, j/2)$. Thus, by the implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) of Theorem 4.3, $t : \int_M^{k,j} \overline{\mathbb{N}_0 \vee \mathbb{N}_0} \rightarrow \int_M^{k+1,j+1} \overline{\mathbb{N}_0 \vee \mathbb{N}_0}$ induces an isomorphism in homology in the range $* \leq \min(k/2, j/2)$. The claim now follows since $\mathrm{Div}^1(M) \simeq \int_M \overline{\mathbb{N}_0 \vee \mathbb{N}_0}$. $\square$

This result is new in the case M has dimension greater than 2. We note that this proposition combined with non-abelian Poincaré duality [Mil13] gives a proof of Segal's result that $\mathrm{Hol}_k^*(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathbb{CP}^1) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}_k^*(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathbb{CP}^1)$ is a homology equivalence in a range tending to infinity with k whose only input consists of the basic properties of holomorphic functions and the fact that $\mathbb{N}_0$ has homological stability.

Gravesen in [Gra89] and Boyer, Hurtubise, Mann and Milgram in [BHMM94] gave configuration space models for spaces of based holomorphic maps from $\mathbb{CP}^1$ into generalized flag varieties. We believe that our techniques can also be made to apply to those cases.

7. DELOOPING AND GROUP-COMPLETION

In the first subsection of this section, we prove that an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell decomposition of an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra in the category of spaces yields an ordinary cell decomposition of its n -fold classifying space. In the second subsection, we use this to give a method for group-completing $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras by attaching $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cells. In the final subsection, we analyze McDuff's spaces of positive and negative particles from [McD75] from the point of view of $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell attachments.

7.1. $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell structures and cell structures of deloopings. In this subsection, we compute the effect on the n -fold delooping of attaching an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell to an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra X in $\mathbf{Top}$. The main result of this subsection is the following.

Theorem 7.1. *Let X be an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra in $\mathbf{Top}$. We have that $B^n(X \uplus D^N) \simeq (B^n X) \cup_{S^{n+N-1}} D^{n+N}$.*

Proof. An extra degeneracy argument tells us that $B^n(X \uplus D^N)$ can be obtained as the realization of a simplicial object $P_\bullet$ with

$$P_p \subset B_p(\Sigma^n, \mathbf{E}_n^\theta, X \cup D^N)$$

spanned by the elements that only apply identities to e_N . This is obtained levelwise as the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^{n+N-1} \simeq \Sigma^n(S^{N-1}) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^n(D^N) \simeq D^{n+N} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Sigma^n(\mathbf{E}_n^\theta)^p X & \longrightarrow & P_p \end{array}$$

The proposition follows because geometric realization preserves colimits. $\square$

This theorem also holds in $\mathbf{Ch}$ and then attaching an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell of dimension N to A amounts to attaching an ordinary N -cell to the cotangent space $L(A) \simeq B^n A[-n]$ (see [Fra13] for more discussion of the cotangent space). Since the number of generators of homology serves as a lower bound for the number of cells, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 7.2. *Let X be an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra in $\mathbf{Top}$. Suppose X is equivalent to a cellular $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra with k $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cells of dimension i . Then k is at least as large as the number of generators of $\tilde{H}_{n+i}(B^n X)$ as an abelian group.*

We give an example illustrating this corollary. We learned of this example from personal communication with Søren Galatius who in turn learned it from Jacob Lurie. It is related to the results in Section 5 of [Lur14], which also discusses BU as a $\mathcal{E}_2^*$ -algebra. It is well known that $B^2 \mathrm{Sym}^{\leq d}(\mathbb{R}^2) \simeq \mathbb{C}P^d$, and thus by Corollary 7.2, any $\mathcal{E}_2^*$ -cell decomposition of $\mathrm{Sym}^{\leq d}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ must have at least one $\mathcal{E}_2^*$ -cell in dimension $0, 2, \dots, 2(d-1)$.

Corollary 7.3. *There exists an $\mathcal{E}_2^*$ -cell decomposition of $\mathrm{Sym}^{\leq d}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with exactly one $\mathcal{E}_2^*$ -cell in dimensions $0, 2, \dots, 2(d-1)$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on d . The fact that we are working with trivial framings implies that the statement is true for $d = 1$. By Lemma 2.7 of [GKY98], $\mathrm{Sym}_{d+1}^{\leq d}(\mathbb{R}^2) \simeq S^{2d-1}$. Attaching an $\mathcal{E}_2^*$ -cell to this sphere makes $\mathrm{Sym}_{d+1}^{\leq d}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ contractible and hence equivalent to $\mathrm{Sym}_{d+1}^{\leq d+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. For $k \leq d$, $\mathrm{Sym}_k^{\leq d+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\mathrm{Sym}_k^{\leq d}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ are both contractible and hence homotopy equivalent. Using Proposition 6.9, we see that $\mathrm{Sym}^{\leq d+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{Sym}_k^{\leq d}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with a $(2d-2)$ -dimensional $\mathcal{E}_2^*$ -cell attached to the sphere representing a generator of $\pi_{2d-3}(\mathrm{Sym}_{d+1}^{\leq d}(\mathbb{R}^2))$. This proves the inductive step. $\square$

7.2. Group-completion via $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell attachment. Group-completion is an important tool in studying the stable homology of $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras. When $\pi_0(X)$ is finitely generated, we will show that group-completion can be implemented by adding a new generator in $\pi_0(X)$ and forcing it to be inverse to a product of generators of $\pi_0(X)$.

Theorem 7.4. *Let X be an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra in $\mathbf{Top}$ with $\pi_0(X)$ finitely generated and m a product of generators of $\pi_0(X)$. Then the group-completion $\Omega^n B^n A$ can be obtained as an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra by attaching first an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell $D_{[-1]}^0$ of dimension 0, and then an $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -cell $D_{[m,-1]}^1$ of dimension 1 along a map that sends one boundary component to the generator $D_{[-1]}^0$ and the other to the component m .*

Proof. A $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra $\tilde{X}$ is equivalent to $\Omega^n B^n X$ if $\tilde{X}$ is group-like (i.e. its monoid of components is a group) and there is a map $f : X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ of $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebras such that $B^n f : B^n X \rightarrow B^n \tilde{X}$ is a weak equivalence.

We denote the $\mathcal{E}_n^\theta$ -algebra constructed in the statement of the corollary suggestively by $X[\pi_0^{-1}]$. Attaching $e_0^{[-1]}$ gives us components indexed by $\pi_0(X) \times \mathbb{N}_0$ and attaching $e_1^{[1,-m]}$ changes this to the Grothendieck group of $\pi_0(X)$ (which we denote by $G(\pi_0(X))$) by identifying $(m, 1)$ with $(0, 0)$. Thus $X[\pi_0^{-1}]$ is group-like. To check that $B^n X \rightarrow B^n X[\pi_0^{-1}]$ is a weak equivalence, we note that $B^n X[\pi_0^{-1}]$ is obtained from $B^n X$ by wedging on S^n and gluing along a D^{n+1} along the attaching map $S^n \rightarrow B^n X$ given on H_n by $\mathbb{Z} \ni 1 \mapsto (m, -1) \in G(\pi_0(X)) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. Using this it is easy to check that $B^n X \rightarrow B^n X[\pi_0^{-1}]$ is a weak equivalence. $\square$

7.3. Configuration spaces of positive and negative particles. Let $C^{+/-}(M)$ denote the configuration spaces of positive and negative particles [McD75]. That is, the configuration space of particles labeled by the set $\{+, -\}$ topologized so that particles labeled by $+$ and particles labeled by $-$ can collide and then annihilate each other, but particles labeled by $+$ cannot collide with other particles labeled by $+$ and similarly for particles labeled by $-$.

Since $\Omega^n S^n$ is the group-completion of $C(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\Omega^n S^n$ can be thought of as $C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with inverses added. In the early 1970's, it was hoped that $C^{+/-}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ was homotopy equivalent to $\Omega^n S^n$ and more generally that $C^{+/-}(M)$ would be a configuration space model for the space of compactly supported sections of the fiberwise one-point compactification of the tangent bundle, $\Gamma^c(\dot{T}M)$ (note that $\Gamma^c(\dot{T}M) \simeq \Gamma^c(B^{TM}C(\mathbb{R}^n))$). In [McD75], McDuff showed that this is not the case. In particular, she proved that $B^n C^{+/-}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is homotopy equivalent to a certain explicit cell complex of dimension $2n$ and not homotopy equivalent to S^n . We promote this cell decomposition of $B^n C^{+/-}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to a $\mathcal{E}_n^*$ -cell decomposition of $C^{+/-}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We also discuss how to modify the space $C^{+/-}(M)$ to build a configuration space model of $\Gamma(\dot{T}M)$.

For simplicity, we restrict attention to the case that M is a parallelizable manifold and work only with $\mathcal{E}_n^*$ -algebras. In that case, $\Gamma^c(\dot{T}M) \simeq \text{Map}^c(M, S^n)$. Let $\{+, 0, -\}$ denote the partial abelian monoid where 0 is a unit and the only other composition that is defined is $+$ added to $-$ is 0 . We can view $\{+, 0, -\}$ as a partial $\mathcal{E}_n^*$ -algebra for any n . We have that $C^{+/-}(M) \simeq \int_M \overline{\{+, 0, -\}}$.

Theorem 7.5. *The $\mathcal{E}_n^*$ -algebra $\overline{\{+, 0, -\}}$ has an $\mathcal{E}_n^*$ -cell decomposition with four $\mathcal{E}_n^*$ -cells: $D_{[+]}^0$, $D_{[-]}^0$, D^1 and D^n .*

Proof. We first attach the two 0-cells to form the free $\mathcal{E}_n^*$ -algebra $\mathcal{E}_n^*(\{+, -\})$. Remembering how many disks are labeled by $+$ and how many are labeled by $-$ gives a bijection between $\pi_0(\mathcal{E}_n^*(\{+, -\}))$ and $\mathbb{N}_0^2$. Because we are working with trivial framing, the $(1, 1)$ -component is homotopy equivalent to S^{n-1} . Attach D^n along this sphere. Also attach D^1 with one end of the boundary in the $(0, 0)$ component and the other in $(1, 1)$ component. Call the resulting $\mathcal{E}_n^*$ -algebra X . Note that $\pi_0(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ where an isomorphism is given by subtracting the number of $-$'s from the number of $+$'s. Since all of the cells are attached in the $-1, 0$ or 1 components, a generalization of Proposition 6.9 implies that X is the completion of $X_{-1} \sqcup X_0 \sqcup X_1$. Since X_{-1} , X_0 and X_1 are all contractible, the obvious map $X_{-1} \sqcup X_0 \sqcup X_1 \rightarrow \overline{\{+, 0, -\}}$ is a weak equivalence of partial $\mathcal{E}_n^*$ -algebras. Thus, $X \simeq \overline{\{+, 0, -\}}$. $\square$

In [McD75], McDuff proved that $C^{+,-}(M)$ is homotopy equivalent to a space of sections of a bundle whose fibers are homeomorphic to $S^n \times S^n / \Delta$ with Δ the diagonal. This result can easily be re-derived as follows. Proposition 7.5 and Theorem 7.1 imply that $B^n \overline{\{+, 0, -\}}$ is homotopy equivalent to a cell complex with two n -cells, one $(n+1)$ -cell and one $2n$ -cell. The two n -cells produce $S^n \vee S^n$, attaching the $2n$ -cell forms $S^n \times S^n$ and attaching the $(n+1)$ -cell kills off the diagonal. Since $\overline{\{+, 0, -\}}$ is group-like, non-abelian Poincaré duality implies that $\int_M \overline{\{+, 0, -\}}$ is homotopy equivalent to $\text{Map}^c(M, B^n \overline{\{+, 0, -\}})$.

The reason for considering $C^{+,-}(M)$ in the first place was the hope that it would give a configuration space model of $\Gamma^c(\dot{T}M)$. We now give such a model using the following corollary of Theorem 7.4:

Corollary 7.6. *The $\mathcal{E}_n^*$ -algebra $\Omega^n S^n$ has an $\mathcal{E}_n^*$ -cell decomposition with 3 $\mathcal{E}_n^*$ -cells: $D_{[+]}^0$, $D_{[-]}^0$ and D^1 , the latter attached to both 0-cells.*

Recall that non-abelian Poincaré duality implies that $\text{Map}^c(M, S^n) \simeq \int_M \Omega^n S^n$. Using this $\mathcal{E}_n^*$ -cell decomposition, we see that $\int_M \Omega^n S^n$ is homotopy equivalent to the configuration space $C^{S,+, -}(M)$ given by particles labeled by $+$, $-$ or S^{n-1} , with the following topology: there is a chosen base point in S^{n-1} and particles vanish if they are labeled by that base point. Points labeled by $+$ and $-$ are allowed to collide and the result of their collision is one particle labeled by the point in S^{n-1} which records the angle of collision (this requires a choice of trivialization of TM). No other collisions are allowed.

This configuration space model of $\text{Map}^c(M, S^n)$ can be further simplified. Using a trivialization of TM , pick a nowhere-vanishing vector field χ . Let $C\chi^{+,-}(M)$ be the configuration space of particles with labels in the set $\{+, -\}$. Unlike in $C^{+,-}(M)$, in $C\chi^{+,-}(M)$ we only allow a particle labeled by $+$ to collide with a particle labeled by $-$ only if they hit each other so that the vector pointing from $+$ to $-$ is a positive multiple of χ . As in $C^{+,-}(M)$, the result of such a collision is the annihilation of both particles. The configuration space $C\chi^{+,-}(M)$ can be identified with the subspace of $C^{S,+, -}(M)$ consisting of particles where no particles are labeled by particles in S^{n-1} . It is clear that $C\chi^{+,-}(M) \rightarrow C^{S,+, -}(M)$ is a homotopy equivalence since particles labeled by S^{n-1} can be pushed apart forming two particles labeled by $+$ and $-$. Non-abelian Poincaré duality and Corollary 7.6 now give the following theorem.

Theorem 7.7. *For M parallelizable, there is a homotopy equivalence $C\chi^{+,-}(M) \simeq \text{Map}^c(M, S^n)$.*

Although McDuff in [McD75] showed that the most naive way of adding inverses to $C(M)$ – that is $C^{+,-}(M)$ – does not result in a configuration space model of $\text{Map}^c(M, S^n)$, this more subtle way of adding inverses ($C\chi^{+,-}(M)$) does give a configuration space model of $\text{Map}^c(M, S^n)$ built out of positive and negative particles. The correct procedure for group-completing E_n -algebras seems to be that one should add in inverses in only one direction. A similar construction works for not-necessarily parallelizable manifolds by attaching framing data to the particles.

APPENDIX A. HOMOLOGICAL STABILITY FOR CONFIGURATION SPACES WITH LABELS IN A BUNDLE

In this appendix we prove a homological stability result that is well-known to some experts but did not appear in the literature before the first preprint of this paper was made available. It has since appeared as Proposition B.3 of [CP14]. It can be proven by a straightforward extension of any of the standard techniques, and for the benefit of the reader we will not only give one proof, but sketch three additional proofs.

Recall that if E is a bundle over M , we have that

$$C_k(M; E) = (\{(m_1, e_1), \dots, (m_k, e_k) \mid m_i \in M, e_i \in E_{m_i} \text{ and } m_i \neq m_j \text{ for } i \neq j\} / \mathfrak{S}_k)$$

Theorem A.1. *Let M be a connected non-compact n -dimensional manifold and let E be a bundle over M with connected fiber F .*

- (i) *The stabilization map $t : H_*(C_k(M; E)) \rightarrow H_*(C_{k+1}(M; E))$ is a $k/2$ -equivalence.*
- (ii) *If $n \geq 3$, the stabilization map $t : H_*(C_k(M; E); \mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \rightarrow H_*(C_{k+1}(M; E); \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ is a k -equivalence.*

Before proving this result, we will prove a corollary about configuration spaces with d colors. These have components indexed multi-indices $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_d)$ which are defined by

$$C_{\mathbf{k}}(M; E) = (\{((m_1, e_1), \dots, (m_{\sum_i k_i}, e_{\sum_i k_i})) \mid m_i \in M, e_i \in E_{m_i} \text{ and } m_i \neq m_j \text{ for } i \neq j\} / \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbf{k}})$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbf{k}} = \prod_i \mathfrak{S}_{k_i} \subset \mathfrak{S}_{\sum k_i}$. This has d different stabilization maps t_i , each introducing a particle of color i at infinity.

Corollary A.2. *Let M be a connected non-compact n -dimensional manifold and let E be a bundle over M with connected fiber F .*

- (i) *The stabilization map $t_i : H_*(C_{\mathbf{k}}(M; E)) \rightarrow H_*(C_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{e}_i}(M; E))$ is a $k_i/2$ -equivalence.*
- (ii) *If $n \geq 3$, the stabilization map $t_i : H_*(C_{\mathbf{k}}(M; E); \mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \rightarrow H_*(C_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{e}_i}(M; E); \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ is a k_i -equivalence.*

Proof. We prove the case (i), the case (ii) being similar. Forgetting all colors except the i th one gives rise to a fiber sequence

$$C_{k_i}(M \setminus \{k_1 + \dots + \hat{k}_i + \dots + k_d \text{ points}\}; E) \rightarrow C_{\mathbf{k}}(M; E) \rightarrow C_{\mathbf{k} \setminus k_i}(M; E)$$

The stabilization map induces a map of Serre spectral sequences which is homotopic to the identity on the base and is given by

$$t : H_*(C_{k_i}(M \setminus \{k_1 + \dots + \hat{k}_i + \dots + k_d \text{ points}\}; E)) \rightarrow H_*(C_{k_i+1}(M \setminus \{k_1 + \dots + \hat{k}_i + \dots + k_d \text{ points}\}; E))$$

on the fiber. Combining Theorem A.1 with spectral sequence comparison gives the desired result. $\square$

We will one complete proof of Theorem A.1 and three sketches, with various additional assumptions and outcomes:

- (i) Using homological stability with twisted coefficients one can get the theorem with a $(k/2 - 1)$ -equivalence, which can be upgraded to a $k/2$ -equivalence if we assume that each $H_i(F)$ is finitely generated.
- (ii) Using a semisimplicial resolution by arcs, one can also get the theorem as stated.
- (iii) Using transfers and finite generation of the limit, one can get the result without an explicit range if we assume that F is homotopy equivalent to a finite CW-complex.
- (iv) Using $FI\#$ -modules one can prove representation stability for the rational cohomology, which implies Theorem A.1 with rational coefficients, as long as we assume that M is simply-connected and each $H^i(F; \mathbb{Q})$ is finite-dimensional.

Note our main applications have $F = \mathrm{GL}^+(n)$, so that F satisfies the assumptions in (i), (iii) and (iv).

Proof using homological stability with twisted coefficients. We start with a complete proof in the case that F is homotopy equivalent to finite CW-complex. Otherwise this proof only gives a $(k/2 - 1)$ -equivalence. We will only do the case (i), noting that the input for case (ii) is Proposition A.2 of [CP14] or follows from applying Palmer's techniques in [Pal13] to the main result of [KM14].

This proof is the shortest we are aware of and uses Palmer's result on stability for the homology of configuration spaces with coefficients in certain local systems [Pal13]. His Corollary 1.6 in particular implies that a map

$$t : H_*(C_k(M); \mathcal{H}_q(F^k; \mathbb{F})) \rightarrow H_*(C_{k+1}(M); \mathcal{H}_q(F^{k+1}; \mathbb{F}))$$

induced by a stabilization map, which adds a new point to the configuration with some choice of label in the fiber, is an isomorphism in the range $* \leq \frac{k-q}{2}$ where $\mathbb{F}$ is some field. Here $\mathcal{H}_q(F^k; \mathbb{F})$ is the local system of abelian groups with fiber above a point $(m_1, \dots, m_k) \in C_k(M)$ given by $H_q(F_{m_1} \times \dots \times F_{m_k}; \mathbb{F})$. To apply this result we consider the Serre spectral sequence for homology with $\mathbb{F}$ -coefficients associated to the fibration

$$F^k \rightarrow C_k(M; E) \rightarrow C_k(M)$$

This has E^2 -page given by $E_{pq}^2 = H_p(C_k(M); \mathcal{H}_q(F^k; \mathbb{F}))$ and the map $t : C_k(M; E) \rightarrow C_{k+1}(M; E)$ induces a map of spectral sequences given on the E^2 -page by the map considered by Palmer. The stability with $\mathbb{F}$ -coefficients for $C_k(M; E)$ now follows by a spectral sequence comparison.

For integral stability it is enough to prove stability with all field coefficients. The $(k/2 - 1)$ -equivalence can now be proven by considering the long exact sequence in homology associated to the short exact sequences of abelian groups:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^{i+1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^i} \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \cong \bigoplus_p \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

and applying the five-lemma several times. Using a transfer argument, one can show that the stabilization map is always injective in homology so in fact it induces an isomorphism for $* = k/2 - 1$ as well. The loss of 1 in the range happens when applying the second long exact sequence, so we still get a $k/2$ -equivalence for $\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients for all primes p and all $m \geq 1$.

To improve this to a $k/2$ -equivalence when F is homotopy equivalent to a finite CW-complex, first make the assumption that M has a finite handle decomposition, so that $H_*(C_k(M; E))$ can be shown to be finitely generated using the Serre spectral sequence used before. Then note that the previous proof implies that $\text{Tor}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_{i-1}(C_k(M; E)), \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_{i-1}(C_{k+1}(M; E)), \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism for $i \leq k/2$, all primes p and all $m \geq 1$. By the universal coefficient theorem we then conclude that $H_i(C_k(M; E)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H_i(C_{k+1}(M; E)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$ is an isomorphism for all $i \leq k/2$, all primes p and all $m \geq 1$. But if $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a map of finitely generated abelian groups such that $f \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z} : A \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow B \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$ is an isomorphism for all primes p and $m \geq 1$, then f is an isomorphism. Finally, remark that any M can, compatibly with the stabilization map, be exhausted by open submanifolds M_j with finite handle decompositions. Then $H_i(C_k(M; E)) = \text{colim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} (H_i(C_k(M_j; E)))$ and we are done. $\square$

Sketch of proof using semisimplicial resolutions by arcs. The second proof uses a semisimplicial resolution by arcs and is a modification of the proof in [RW13]. In contrast to the previous result, it has no assumptions on M or F . We will only give the proof in the case (i). The extension to the case (ii) is given in [CP14].

The idea is to consider the following semisimplicial space $X_\bullet(k)$ with augmentation to $C_k(M; E)$. One picks an embedding ϕ of $(0, 1)$ into ∂M . The space $X_p(k)$ of p -simplices consists of pairs $(x, ((\gamma_0, \eta_0), \dots, (\gamma_p, \eta_p)))$. Here we have that x is an element of $C_k(M)$, the γ_i are embeddings $[0, 1] \rightarrow \bar{M}$ such that $\gamma_i(0) = \phi(t_i)$ with $t_0 < \dots < t_p$ and $\gamma_i(1)$ is a point in our configuration, and the η_i are lifts $[0, 1] \rightarrow E$ of γ_i such that $\eta_i(1)$ hits the label of $\gamma_i(1)$. The embeddings are topologized in the C^∞ -topology, the lifts in the compact-open topology and the face maps each forget one of the arcs and their lift.

We now make two claims, whose proofs we will sketch later: (i) the map $\epsilon : ||X_\bullet(k)|| \rightarrow C_k(M; E)$ induced by the augmentation is $(k - 1)$ -connected and (ii) $X_p(k) \simeq C_{k-p-1}(M) \times F^{p+1}$. The stabilization map $t : C_k(M; E) \rightarrow C_{k+1}(M; E)$ lifts to a semisimplicial map $t_\bullet : X_\bullet(k) \rightarrow X_\bullet(k+1)$. This gives a spectral sequence with E^1 -page $E_{pq}^1 = H_q(X_p(k+1), X_p(k)) = \bigoplus_{i+j=q} H_i(C_{k-p}(M; E), C_{k-p-1}(M; E)) \otimes H_j(F^{p+1})$ (modulo Künneth), converging to $H_p(C_{k+1}(M; E), C_k(M; E))$ in a range. A spectral sequence argument as in Section 6 of [RW13] then finishes the proof.

Let's sketch the justification for the two claims. The first claim is proven by noting that for a fixed configuration $x \in C_k(M; E)$ the fibers of ϵ are $(k - 1)$ -connected and ϵ is a microfibration. Here the argument diverges for $\dim M = 2$ and $\dim M > 2$. In the case $\dim M = 2$ one leverages the connectivity of the complex $A(S; \Delta^n, \Lambda_n)$ in Section 7 of [HW10] and the fact that the space of representatives of an isotopy class is contractible. Hatcher and Wahl also discuss the generalization to higher dimensions. In the case $\dim M > 2$ one leverages the connectivity of the complex of injective words on the elements of the configuration, general position and an argument that under certain conditions allows one to deduce that a semisimplicial space is highly connected if its underlying semisimplicial set is. Such an argument is given in the proof of Theorem 4.6 of [GRW12] (see also Appendix A of [Kup13]). The

second claim is proven by moving the $p + 1$ of the points of the configuration along the paths γ_i , while moving the label along η_i . $\square$

Sketch of proof using transfers and finite generation of the limit. This proof is a straightforward adaptation of the proof in [McD75], which in fact claims the theorem on page 93. As mentioned before, we will need to assume that F is homotopy equivalent to a finite CW-complex, and even then we do not get an explicit range. As in the end of the first proof, we can assume that M has a finite handle decomposition.

For all $j \leq k$ there is a transfer map $\tau_{k,j} : H_*(C_k(M; E)) \rightarrow H_*(C_j(M; E))$ induced by summing over all ways of forgetting $j - k$ of the k labeled particles. This satisfies the equation $\tau_{k+1,j} \circ t = \tau_{k,j} + t \circ \tau_{k,j-1}$. By Lemma 2 of [Dol62] we have that $t : H_*(C_k(M; E)) \rightarrow H_*(C_{k+1}(M; E))$ is the inclusion of a direct summand.

So we are done if we can prove that the limiting homology $\text{colim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} H_*(C_k(M; E))$ is finitely-generated in each degree. There is a scanning map $C_k(M; E) \rightarrow \Gamma_k^c(M; T\dot{M} \wedge E_+)$, where Γ_k^c are the degree k compactly-supported sections and $T\dot{M} \wedge E_+$ is the fiberwise smash product of the fiberwise one-point compactification of the tangent space with E with a fiberwise disjoint basepoint. There are stabilization maps between the components of this section space by introducing a collection of compactly supported degree 1 or -1 sections at the boundary. These are all weak equivalences.

Remark that limiting homology $\text{colim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} H_*(C_k(M; E))$ is given by the homology of $\tilde{C}(M; E) = \text{hocolim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} C_k(M; E)$, where we take the homotopy colimit over t . The scanning map then induces a map

$$\tilde{C}(M; E) = \text{hocolim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} C_k(M; E) \rightarrow \text{hocolim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_k^c(M; T\dot{M} \wedge E_+) \simeq \Gamma_0^c(M; T\dot{M} \wedge E_+)$$

where the latter homotopy equivalence follows from the fact that all stabilization maps for the section spaces are weak equivalence. That map is a homology equivalence follows by induction over handles, a result which can be found in [MT13].

That $\Gamma_0^c(M; T\dot{M} \wedge E_+)$ has finitely-generated homology follows from the case $M = \mathbb{R}^n$, in which one considers the homology of $\Omega^k \Sigma^n F_+$ for $k \leq n$ using iterated Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequences, and a handle induction using the fact that a compact manifold has a finite handle decomposition. $\square$

Sketch of rational proof using FI#-modules. The following argument works if one is willing to use rational coefficients and assume that M is simply-connected and each rational cohomology group of the fiber F of E is finitely-dimensional. Again, without loss of generality we can assume that M has a finite handle decomposition.

Let $F_k(M)$ denote the ordered configuration spaces, $F_k(M) = \{(m_1, \dots, m_k) | m_i \neq m_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}$. There is a version with labels in a bundle, which we denote $F_k(M; E)$. Then we will actually prove a stronger result, uniform representation stability for $H^i(F_k(M; E); \mathbb{Q})$.

We have that $C_k(M; E) = F_k(M; E)/\mathfrak{S}_k$ and so with rational coefficients we have an isomorphism $H^i(C_k(M; E); \mathbb{Q}) = H^i(F_k(M; E); \mathbb{Q})^{\mathfrak{S}_k}$. One can prove statements about the representations of $\mathfrak{S}_k$ that show up in $H^i(F_k(M; E); \mathbb{Q})$ using the theory of FI- and FI#-modules as developed by Church, Farb and Ellenberg in [CEF12], whose notation we will freely use. Using this theory we will prove that $\{H^i(F_k(M; E); \mathbb{Q})\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly representable stable with stable range $\geq 2i$, because then in particular the number of trivial $\mathfrak{S}_k$ -representations appearing in $H^i(F_k(M; E); \mathbb{Q})$ stabilizes for $i \leq k/2$ respectively.

If M is non-compact and connected, then the collection $\{H^i(F_k(M; E); \mathbb{Q})\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ assembles into an FI#-module. For an FI#-module the stable range for uniform representation stability is twice its weight by Corollary 2.59 of [CEF12].

In Theorem 4.7 they prove that $\{H^i(F_k(M); \mathbb{Q})\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ has weight $\leq i$. The weight of $\{H^i(F^k; \mathbb{Q})\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is $\leq i$, which follows from Proposition 2.51 and Proposition 2.72. Then if we consider the Serre spectral sequence for the fibration

$$F^k \rightarrow F_k(M; E) \rightarrow F_k(M)$$

we get a spectral sequence with $E_2^{pq} = H^p(F_k(M); \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^q(F^k; \mathbb{Q})$ and this is a spectral sequence of FI#-modules. Weight is additive under tensor products by Proposition 2.61 and by definition preserved under extensions and subquotients. This has weight $\leq p + q$ and hence so has $H^{p+q}(F_k(M; E); \mathbb{Q})$. $\square$

The last proof can be adapted to prove a similar stabilization result for $H^*(C_k(M; E); \mathbb{Q})$ when M is closed. Then one works with FI-modules and needs to keep track of both weight and stability degree. We have not been able to use this, since for a closed manifold M there are no maps relating $\int_M^k A$ and $\int_M^{k+1} A$.

REFERENCES

- [AF14] David Ayala and John Francis, *Poincaré/Koszul duality*, preprint (2014), <http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.2478v2>. 22
- [And10] Ricardo Andrade, *From manifolds to invariants of En-algebras*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2010, Thesis (Ph.D.)—Massachusetts Institute of Technology. MR 2898647 2
- [Arn70] V. I. Arnol'd, *Certain topological invariants of algebraic functions*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč. **21** (1970), 27–46. MR 0274462 (43 #225) 2
- [BHMM93] C. P. Boyer, J. C. Hurtubise, B. M. Mann, and R. J. Milgram, *The topology of instanton moduli spaces. I. The Atiyah-Jones conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) **137** (1993), no. 3, 561–609. MR 1217348 (94h:55010) 2
- [BHMM94] ———, *The topology of the space of rational maps into generalized flag manifolds*, Acta Math. **173** (1994), no. 1, 61–101. MR 1294670 (95h:55007) 2, 28
- [BM07] Clemens Berger and Ieke Moerdijk, *Resolution of coloured operads and rectification of homotopy algebras*, Categories in algebra, geometry and mathematical physics, Contemp. Math., vol. 431, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, pp. 31–58. MR 2342815 (2008k:18008) 14
- [CCMM91] F. R. Cohen, R. L. Cohen, B. M. Mann, and R. J. Milgram, *The topology of rational functions and divisors of surfaces*, Acta Math. **166** (1991), no. 3-4, 163–221. MR 1097023 (92k:55011) 24, 25
- [CEF12] Thomas Church, Jordan S. Ellenberg, and Benson Farb, *FI-modules: a new approach to stability for S_n -representations*, preprint (2012), <http://arxiv.org/abs/1204.4533v2>. 34
- [Chu12] Thomas Church, *Homological stability for configuration spaces of manifolds*, Invent. Math. **188** (2012), no. 2, 465–504. MR 2909770 5
- [CP14] Federico Cantero and Martin Palmer, *On homological stability for configuration spaces on closed background manifolds*, preprint (2014). 18, 20, 31, 32, 33
- [Dol62] Albrecht Dold, *Decomposition theorems for $S(n)$ -complexes*, Ann. of Math. (2) **75** (1962), 8–16. MR 0137113 (25 #569) 24, 34
- [Dre67] A. Dress, *Zur Spectralsequenz von Faserungen*, Invent. Math. **3** (1967), 172–178. MR 0267585 (42 #2487) 9, 15
- [EVW09] Jordan S. Ellenberg, Akshay Venkatesh, and Craig Westerland, *Homological stability for Hurwitz spaces and the Cohen-Lenstra conjecture over function fields*, preprint (2009), <http://arxiv.org/abs/0912.0325v2>. 2
- [Fra12] John Francis, *Factorization homology of topological manifolds*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1206.5522v1>. 2, 8, 9
- [Fra13] ———, *The tangent complex and Hochschild cohomology of E_n -rings*, Compos. Math. **149** (2013), no. 3, 430–480. MR 3040746 29
- [FS97] Zbigniew Fiedorowicz and Yongjin Song, *The braid structure of mapping class groups*, Sci. Bull. Josai Univ. (1997), no. Special issue 2, 21–29, Surgery and geometric topology (Sakado, 1996). MR 1443704 (99g:18005) 25
- [GKY94] M. A. Guest, A. Kozłowski, and K. Yamaguchi, *The topology of spaces of coprime polynomials*, Math. Z. **217** (1994), no. 3, 435–446. MR 1306670 (95i:55014) 28
- [GKY98] ———, *Stable splitting of the space of polynomials with roots of bounded multiplicity*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **38** (1998), no. 2, 351–366. MR 1648299 (99k:55009) 24, 29
- [Gra89] Jens Gravesen, *On the topology of spaces of holomorphic maps*, Acta Math. **162** (1989), no. 3-4, 247–286. MR 989398 (90g:32023) 2, 28
- [GRW12] Søren Galatius and Oscar Randal-Williams, *Homological stability for moduli spaces of high dimensional manifolds*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1203.6830>, 2012. 2, 26, 33
- [GTZ10] Gregory Ginot, Thomas Tradler, and Mahmoud Zeinalian, *Derived higher hochschild homology, topological chiral homology and factorization algebras*, preprint (2010), <http://arxiv.org/abs/1011.6483v3>. 2
- [Gue95] Martin A. Guest, *The topology of the space of rational curves on a toric variety*, Acta Math. **174** (1995), no. 1, 119–145. MR 1310847 (95k:58021) 2, 25, 28
- [Har85] John L. Harer, *Stability of the homology of the mapping class groups of orientable surfaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **121** (1985), no. 2, 215–249. MR 786348 (87f:57009) 2, 25
- [Hor13] Geoffrey Horel, *Factorization homology and calculus à la Kontsevich Soibelman*, preprint (2013), <http://arxiv.org/abs/1307.0322v2>. 14

- [HW10] Allen Hatcher and Nathalie Wahl, *Stabilization for mapping class groups of 3-manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **155** (2010), no. 2, 205–269. MR 2736166 (2012c:57001) [33](#)
- [KM13] Alexander Kupers and Jeremy Miller, *Homological stability for topological chiral homology of completions*, preprint (2013), <http://arxiv.org/abs/1311.5203v1>. [2](#), [27](#), [28](#)
- [KM14] ———, *Improved homological stability for configuration spaces after inverting 2*, To appear in Homology, Homotopy and Applications (preprint 2014), <http://arxiv.org/abs/1405.4441v3>. [4](#), [18](#), [32](#)
- [KMT14] Alexander Kupers, Jeremy Miller, and TriThang Tran, *Homological stability for symmetric complements*, To appear in Transactions of the American Mathematical Society (preprint 2014). [2](#), [22](#), [23](#), [24](#), [28](#)
- [Kup13] Alexander Kupers, *Homological stability for unlinked Euclidean circles in $\mathbb{R}^3$* , preprint (2013), <http://arxiv.org/abs/1310.8580v2>. [2](#), [33](#)
- [Lur11] Jacob Lurie, *Higher algebra*, 2011, November 2014 version, <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/higheralgebra.pdf>. [2](#), [19](#), [22](#)
- [Lur14] ———, *Rotation invariance in algebraic K-theory*, preprint (2014), <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/Waldhausen.pdf>. [29](#)
- [May72] J. P. May, *The geometry of iterated loop spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 271. MR 0420610 (54 #8623b) [6](#), [8](#), [22](#)
- [McC01] John McCleary, *A user's guide to spectral sequences*, second ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 58, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001. MR 1793722 (2002c:55027) [14](#), [15](#)
- [McD75] Dusa McDuff, *Configuration spaces of positive and negative particles*, Topology **14** (1975), 91–107. MR 0358766 (50 #11225) [11](#), [29](#), [30](#), [31](#), [34](#)
- [Mil12] Jeremy Miller, *The topology of the space of J-holomorphic maps to CP^2* , To appear in Geometry & Topology (preprint 2012). [23](#), [24](#)
- [Mil13] ———, *Nonabelian poincare duality after stabilizing*, To appear in Transactions of the American Mathematical Society (preprint 2013), <http://arxiv.org/abs/1209.2773>. [4](#), [22](#), [23](#), [28](#)
- [MS76] D. McDuff and G. Segal, *Homology fibrations and the “group-completion” theorem*, Invent. Math. **31** (1975/76), no. 3, 279–284. MR 0402733 (53 #6547) [5](#), [22](#)
- [MT13] Richard Manthorpe and Ulrike Tillmann, *Tubular configurations: equivariant scanning and splitting*, preprint (2013), <http://arxiv.org/abs/1307.5669v1>. [34](#)
- [Pal12] Martin Palmer, *Configuration spaces and homological stability*, 2012, Oxford University thesis. [2](#)
- [Pal13] ———, *Twisted homology of configuration spaces*, preprint (2013), <http://arxiv.org/abs/1308.4397v1>. [32](#)
- [RW13] Oscar Randal-Williams, *Homological stability for unordered configuration spaces*, Quarterly Journal of Mathematics (2013), no. 64 (1), 303–326. [2](#), [18](#), [22](#), [23](#), [33](#)
- [Sal01] Paolo Salvatore, *Configuration spaces with summable labels*, Cohomological methods in homotopy theory (Bellaterra, 1998), Progr. Math., vol. 196, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001, pp. 375–395. MR 1851264 (2002f:55039) [2](#), [14](#), [22](#), [23](#)
- [Seg79] Graeme Segal, *The topology of spaces of rational functions*, Acta Math. **143** (1979), no. 1-2, 39–72. MR 533892 (81c:55013) [2](#), [25](#), [28](#)
- [Ste72] Norman E. Steenrod, *Cohomology operations, and obstructions to extending continuous functions*, Advances in Math. **8** (1972), 371–416. MR 0298655 (45 #7705) [2](#), [4](#)
- [Vas92] V. A. Vassiliev, *Complements of discriminants of smooth maps: topology and applications*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 98, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992, Translated from the Russian by B. Goldfarb. MR 1168473 (94i:57020) [24](#)
- [VW12] Ravi Vakil and Melanie Matchett Wood, *Discriminants in the Grothendieck ring*, to appear in Duke Math. (2012), <http://arxiv.org/abs/1208.3166>. [24](#)
- [Wah13] Nathalie Wahl, *Homological stability for mapping class groups of surfaces*, Handbook of moduli. Vol. III, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), vol. 26, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2013, pp. 547–583. MR 3135444 [2](#), [25](#)
- [Wei05] Michael Weiss, *What does the classifying space of a category classify?*, Homology Homotopy Appl. **7** (2005), no. 1, 185–195. MR 2175298 (2007d:57059) [23](#)
- [Yam03] Kohhei Yamaguchi, *Configuration space models for spaces of maps from a Riemann surface to complex projective space*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **39** (2003), no. 3, 535–543. MR 2001186 (2004h:55013) [2](#), [24](#), [28](#)